1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9	DARRELL HARRIS,	Case No. 1:13-cv-01354-DAD-JDP
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING LEAVE TO AMEND
11	v.	
12	S. ESCAMILLA, et al.,	
13	Defendants.	
14		
15	Plaintiff Darrell Harris is a state prisoner proceeding pro se in this civil rights action	
16	under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit has held that the court	
17	erred in granting summary judgment for defendant Escamilla on plaintiff's First Amendment	
18	Free Exercise and Fourteenth Amendment Equal Protection claims. (Doc. No. 167 at 2-4.)	
19	Additionally, the Ninth Circuit affirmed the court's dismissal of plaintiff's California Bane Act	
20	claim against defendant Escamilla but remanded to give plaintiff the opportunity to amend and to	
21	allege compliance with the Government Claims Act. ( <i>Id.</i> at 5-6.)	
22	Accordingly,	
23	1. the court grants plaintiff leave to am	end his Bane Act claim against defendant
24	Escamilla to allege compliance with	
25	2. plaintiff may file a second amended	complaint by July 13, 2018. <sup>1</sup>
26	Plaintiff is advised that an amended complaint supersedes the original complaint, <i>see Lacey v</i> .	
27	Maricopa County, 693 F.3d. 896, 907 n.1 (9th Cir. 2012) (en banc), and must be complete in itself without reference to the prior or superseded pleading, Local Rule 220. Therefore, in an	
28	amended complaint, as in an original complaint, each claim and the involvement of each	

1		
2	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
3	Dated: June 19, 2018 /s/ Jeremy D. Peterson	
4	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
<ul><li>19</li><li>20</li></ul>		
20		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27	defendant must be sufficiently alleged. The amended complaint should be signed; should be	
28	titled "Second Amended Complaint" in clear, bold type; and should refer to the appropriate case	