1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
<u>7</u>			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	DARRELL HARRIS,	Case No. 1:13-CV-01354-LJO-MJS (PC)	
12		ORDER DENYING MOTION TO	
13	Plaintiff,	CONSOLIDATE CASES (ECF No. 40)	
14	V.		
15			
16	R. PIMENTEL, et al.,		
17	Defendants.		
18 10			
19 20	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil		
20 21	rights action brought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1983. The action proceeds on Plaintiff's		
21	Free Exercise, RLUIPA and Equal Protection claims against Defendant Escamilla. (ECF		
22	No. 10.) Escamilla has been served and has appeared in the action. (ECF No. 14.)		
24	On May 13, 2015, Plaintiff moved to consolidate the instant action with a state		
 25	court case now pending appeal. (ECF No. 40, at 2.) Plaintiff alleges that the two cases		
26	involve the same parties and the same or similar claims.		
27	This Court has no power to consolidate the instant action with an action filed in a		
28			

1	state court. Fed. R. Civ. P. 42(a). Under Rule 42, a court may only consolidate actions
2	that are pending before it. Therefore, the Court will deny Plaintiff's motion to
3	consolidate.
4	Based on the foregoing, Plaintiff's motion to consolidate is HEREBY DENIED.
5	
6	
7	IT IS SO ORDERED.
8	Dated: <u>May 27, 2015</u> <u>Isl Michael J. Seng</u>
9	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24 25	
25 26	
26 27	
27 28	2
۷ð	2