1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7	UNITED STATI	TES DISTRICT COURT			
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
9					
10	DEBRA ANGLIN,	) 1: 13-CV-01357 - LJO - JLT			
11	Plaintiff,	) SCHEDULING ORDER (Fed. R. Civ. P. 16)			
12	v.	) Pleading Amendment Deadline: 10/28/2016			
13	HIFDHUULAH A. ALROWHANY, et al.,	) ) Discovery Deadlines:			
14	Defendants.	) Non-Expert: 4/24/2017			
15		) Expert: 6/16/2017 —) Mid-Discovery Status Conference:			
16		12/12/2016 at 9:00 a.m.			
17		Non-Dispositive Motion Deadlines: Filing: 6/30/2017			
18		Hearing: 7/28/2017			
19		Dispositive Motion Deadlines:			
20		Filing: 8/11/2017 Hearing: 9/26/2017			
21		Settlement Conference:			
22		2/10/2017 at 1:30 p.m.			
23		510 19th Street, Bakersfield, CA			
24		Pre-Trial Conference: 11/14/2017 at 8:30 a.m.			
25		Courtroom 4			
26		Trial: 1/9/2018 at 8:30 a.m.			
27		Courtroom 4 Jury trial: 3 days			
28					
		1			

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

1

I.

### **Date of Scheduling Conference**

May 12, 2016.

II. **Appearances of Counsel** 

Raymond Ballister appeared on behalf of Plaintiff.

Kristine Scribner appeared on behalf of Defendant Hifdhuulah Alrowhany.

Linda Sharpe appeared on behalf of Defendants and Mohammed Alrowhany and Abdul Alrowhany.

8 III.

# **Magistrate Judge Consent:**

### Notice of Congested Docket and Court Policy of Trailing

Due to the District Judges' heavy caseload, the newly adopted policy of the Fresno Division of the Eastern District is to trail all civil cases. The parties are hereby notified that for a trial date set before a District Judge, the parties will trail indefinitely behind any higher priority criminal or older civil case set on the same date until a courtroom becomes available. The trial date will not be reset to a continued date.

The Magistrate Judges' availability is far more realistic and accommodating to parties than that 15 16 of the U.S. District Judges who carry the heaviest caseloads in the nation and who must prioritize criminal and older civil cases over more recently filed civil cases. A United States Magistrate Judge 17 18 may conduct trials, including entry of final judgment, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 73, and Local Rule 305. Any appeal from a judgment entered by a United States Magistrate Judge is taken directly to the United States Court of Appeal for the Ninth Circuit.

States Article III District Court Judges from throughout the nation as Visiting Judges. Pursuant to the Local Rules, Appendix A, such reassignments will be random, and the parties will receive no advance notice before their case is reassigned to an Article III District Court Judge from outside of the Eastern District of California. 25

26 Therefore, the parties are directed to consider consenting to Magistrate Judge jurisdiction to conduct all further proceedings, including trial. Within 10 days of the date of this order, counsel 27 28 SHALL file a consent/decline form (provided by the Court at the inception of this case) indicating

19 20 21 The Fresno Division of the Eastern District of California, whenever possible, is utilizing United 22 23 24

whether they will consent to the jurisdiction of the Magistrate Judge.

IV. **Pleading Amendment Deadline** 

Any requested pleading amendments are ordered to be filed, either through a stipulation or motion to amend, no later than October 28, 2016.

5

V.

1

## **Discovery Plan and Cut-Off Date**

The parties are ordered to complete all discovery pertaining to non-experts on or before April 24, 2017, and all discovery pertaining to experts on or before June 16, 2017.

8 The parties are directed to disclose all expert witnesses, in writing, on or before May 1, 2017, and to disclose all rebuttal experts on or before May 19, 2017. The written designation of retained and 9 10 non-retained experts shall be made pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 26(a)(2), (A), (B), and (C) and shall include all information required thereunder. Failure to designate experts in compliance with 11 12 this order may result in the Court excluding the testimony or other evidence offered through such 13 experts that are not disclosed pursuant to this order.

14 The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(4) and (5) shall apply to all discovery relating to experts and their opinions. Experts must be fully prepared to be examined on all subjects and opinions 15 16 included in the designation. Failure to comply will result in the imposition of sanctions, which may 17 include striking the expert designation and preclusion of expert testimony.

18 The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(e) regarding a party's duty to timely supplement 19 disclosures and responses to discovery requests will be strictly enforced.

20 A mid-discovery status conference is scheduled for **December 12, 2016** at 9:00 a.m. before the 21 Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston, U.S. Magistrate Judge, located at 510 19th Street, Bakersfield, 22 California. Counsel SHALL file a joint mid-discovery status conference report one week before the 23 conference. Counsel also SHALL lodge the status report via e-mail to JLTorders@caed.uscourts.gov. 24 The joint statement SHALL outline the discovery counsel have completed and that which needs to be 25 completed as well as any impediments to completing the discovery within the deadlines set forth in this 26 order. Counsel may appear via CourtCall, providing a written notice of the intent to appear 27 telephonically is provided to the Magistrate Judge's Courtroom Deputy Clerk no later than five court 28 days before the noticed hearing date.

2 3

1

#### VI. **Pre-Trial Motion Schedule**

All non-dispositive pre-trial motions, including any discovery motions, shall be filed no later than June 30, 2017 and heard on or before July 28, 2017. Non-dispositive motions are heard before the Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston, United States Magistrate Judge at the United States Courthouse in Bakersfield, California.

6

4

5

No motion to amend or stipulation to amend the case schedule will be entertained unless it 7 is filed at least one week before the first deadline the parties wish to extend. Likewise, no written 8 discovery motions shall be filed without the prior approval of the assigned Magistrate Judge. A party with a discovery dispute must first confer with the opposing party in a good faith effort to resolve by 9 10 agreement the issues in dispute. If that good faith effort is unsuccessful, the moving party promptly 11 shall seek a telephonic hearing with all involved parties and the Magistrate Judge. It shall be the 12 obligation of the moving party to arrange and originate the conference call to the court. To schedule 13 this telephonic hearing, the parties are ordered to contact the Courtroom Deputy Clerk, Susan Hall, at (661) 326-6620 or via email at SHall@caed.uscourts.gov. Counsel must comply with Local Rule 251 14 with respect to discovery disputes or the motion will be denied without prejudice and dropped 15 16 from the Court's calendar.

17 All dispositive pre-trial motions shall be filed no later than August 11, 2017, and heard no later 18 than September 26, 2017, in Courtroom 4 at 8:30 a.m. before the Honorable Lawrence J. O'Neill, 19 United States District Court Judge. In scheduling such motions, counsel shall comply with Fed. R. 20 Civ. P. 56 and Local Rules 230 and 260.

21

#### VII. Motions for Summary Judgment or Summary Adjudication

22 <u>At least 21 days before</u> filing a motion for summary judgment or motion for summary 23 adjudication, the parties are **ORDERED** to meet, in person or by telephone, to confer about the issues 24 to be raised in the motion.

25 The purpose of the meeting shall be to: 1) avoid filing motions for summary judgment where a 26 question of fact exists; 2) determine whether the respondent agrees that the motion has merit in whole 27 or in part; 3) discuss whether issues can be resolved without the necessity of briefing; 4) narrow the 28 issues for review by the court; 5) explore the possibility of settlement before the parties incur the

expense of briefing a motion; and 6) to develop a joint statement of undisputed facts.

1

2 The moving party **SHALL** initiate the meeting and **SHALL** provide a complete, proposed 3 statement of undisputed facts at least five days before the conference. The finalized joint statement of undisputed facts **SHALL** include all facts that the parties agree, for purposes of the motion, may be 4 5 deemed true. In addition to the requirements of Local Rule 260, the moving party shall file the joint statement of undisputed facts. 6 7 In the notice of motion the moving party SHALL certify that the parties have met and conferred 8 as ordered above, or set forth a statement of good cause for the failure to meet and confer. Failure to comply may result in the motion being stricken. 9 10 VIII. <u>Pre-Trial Conference Date</u> November 14, 2017 at 8:30 a.m. in Courtroom 4 before Judge O'Neill. 11 12 The parties are ordered to file a Joint Pretrial Statement pursuant to Local Rule 281(a)(2). The parties are further directed to submit a digital copy of their pretrial statement in Word format, 13 14 directly to Judge O'Neill's chambers, by email at LJOorders@caed.uscourts.gov. Counsels' attention is directed to Rules 281 and 282 of the Local Rules of Practice for the 15 16 Eastern District of California, as to the obligations of counsel in preparing for the pre-trial conference. The Court will insist upon strict compliance with those rules. In addition to the matters set forth in the 17 Local Rules the Joint Pretrial Statement shall include a Joint Statement of the case to be used by the 18 19 Court to explain the nature of the case to the jury during voir dire. IX. 20 **Trial Date** 21 January 9, 2018 at 8:30 a.m. in Courtroom 4 before the Honorable Lawrence J. O'Neill, United States District Court Judge. 22 23 A. This is a jury trial. 24 B. Counsels' Estimate of Trial Time: 3 days. 25 C. Counsels' attention is directed to Local Rules of Practice for the Eastern District of California, Rule 285. 26 27 X. **Settlement Conference** A Settlement Conference is scheduled for February 10, 2017 at 1:30 p.m., located at 510 19th 28 5

Street, Bakersfield, California. The settlement conference will be conducted by Magistrate Judge
 Thurston. <u>If any party prefers that the settlement conference be conducted by a judicial officer</u>
 who is not otherwise assigned to this matter, that party is directed to notify the Court no later
 <u>than 60 days in advance of the scheduled settlement conference</u> to allow sufficient time for another
 judicial officer to be assigned to handle the conference.

Unless otherwise permitted in advance by the Court, <u>the attorneys who will try the case shall</u> <u>appear</u> at the Settlement Conference <u>with the parties</u> and the person or persons having <u>full authority</u> to negotiate and settle the case <u>on any terms</u><sup>1</sup> at the conference. Consideration of settlement is a serious matter that requires preparation prior to the settlement conference. Set forth below are the procedures the Court will employ, absent good cause, in conducting the conference.

At least 21 days before the settlement conference, Plaintiff SHALL submit to Defendant via fax or e-mail, a written itemization of damages and a meaningful<sup>2</sup> settlement demand which includes a brief explanation of why such a settlement is appropriate. Thereafter, <u>no later than 14 days before</u> the settlement conference, Defendant SHALL respond, via fax or e-mail, with an acceptance of the offer or with a meaningful counteroffer which includes a brief explanation of why such a settlement is appropriate.

17 If settlement is not achieved, each party SHALL attach copies of their settlement offers to their
18 Confidential Settlement Conference Statement, as described below. Copies of these documents shall
19 not be filed on the court docket.

**CONFIDENTIAL SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT** 

At least five court days prior to the Settlement Conference, the parties shall submit, directly to

<sup>1</sup> Insurance carriers, business organizations, and governmental bodies or agencies whose settlement agreements

20

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

# 21 22

- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26

Judge Thurston's chambers by e-mail to JLTorders@caed.uscourts.gov, a Confidential Settlement

demand.
 <sup>2</sup> "Meaningful" means that the offer is reasonably calculated to settle the case on terms acceptable to the offering party. "Meaningful" does not include an offer which the offering party knows will not be acceptable to the other party. If, however, the offering party is only willing to offer a settlement which it knows the other party will not accept, this should trigger a recognition the case is not in a settlement posture and the parties should confer about continuing or vacating the settlement conference via stipulation.

are subject to approval by legislative bodies, executive committees, boards of directors or the like shall be represented by a person or persons who occupy high executive positions in the party organization and who will be directly involved in the process of approval of any settlement offers or agreements. To the extent possible the representative shall have the authority, if he or she deems it appropriate, to settle the action on terms consistent with the opposing party's most recent demand.

1	Confere	ence Statement. The statement should not be filed with the Clerk of the Court nor served on
2	any oth	er party, although the parties may file a Notice of Lodging of Settlement Conference
3	Stateme	ent. Each statement shall be clearly marked "confidential" with the date and time of the
4	Settlem	ent Conference indicated prominently thereon.
5		The Confidential Settlement Conference Statement shall include the following:
6		A. A brief statement of the facts of the case.
7		B. A brief statement of the claims and defenses, i.e., statutory or other grounds upon which
8		the claims are founded; a forthright evaluation of the parties' likelihood of prevailing on
9		the claims and defenses; and a description of the major issues in dispute.
10		C. A summary of the proceedings to date.
11		D. An estimate of the cost and time to be expended for further discovery, pretrial and trial.
12		E. The relief sought.
13		F. The party's position on settlement, including present demands and offers and a history of
14		past settlement discussions, offers and demands.
15	XI.	Request for Bifurcation, Appointment of Special Master, or other
16		Techniques to Shorten Trial
17		Not applicable at this time.
18	XII.	Related Matters Pending
19		There are no pending related matters.
20	XIII.	Compliance with Federal Procedure
21		All counsel are expected to familiarize themselves with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure
22	and the	Local Rules of Practice of the Eastern District of California, and to keep abreast of any
23	amendments thereto. The Court must insist upon compliance with these Rules if it is to efficiently	
24	handle its increasing case load and sanctions will be imposed for failure to follow both the Federal	
25	Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of Practice for the Eastern District of California.	
26	XIV.	Effect of this Order
27	,	The foregoing order represents the best estimate of the court and counsel as to the agenda most
28	suitable to dispose of this case. The trial date reserved is specifically reserved for this case. If the	

1	parties determine at any time that the schedule outlined in this order cannot be met, counsel are ordered		
2	to notify the court immediately of that fact so that adjustments may be made, either by stipulation or by		
3	subsequent status conference.		
4	The dates set in this Order are considered to be firm and will not be modified absent a		
5	showing of good cause even if the request to modify is made by stipulation. Stipulations		
6	extending the deadlines contained herein will not be considered unless they are accompanied by		
7	affidavits or declarations, and where appropriate attached exhibits, which establish good cause		
8	for granting the relief requested.		
9	Failure to comply with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions.		
10			
11	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
12	Dated: May 12, 2016 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston		
13	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	8		
	ě l		