1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	GEORGE GARCIA,	Case No. 1:13-cv-01389-LJO-JLT (PC)	
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER CLOSING THE CASE DUE TO VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL	
13	V.	WITHOUT PREJUDICE	
14	MARTINEZ, et al.,	(Doc. 17)	
15	Defendants.		
16	On April 16, 2014, Plaintiff filed a request for dismissal of this case without prejudice.		
17	Although not stated in the notice, the Court construes it as one made pursuant to Federal Rule of		
18	Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(i).		
19	In Wilson v. City of San Jose, the Ninth Circuit explained:		
20	Under Rule $41(a)(1)$ , a plaintiff has an absolute right to voluntarily dismiss his action prior to service by the defendant of an answer or a motion for summary		
21	judgment. <i>Concha v. London</i> , 62 F.3d 1493, 1506 (9th Cir. 1995) (citing <i>Hamilton v. Shearson-Lehman American Express</i> , 813 F.2d 1532, 1534 (9th Cir.		
22	1987)). A plaintiff may dismiss his action so long as the plaintiff files a notice of dismissal prior to the defendant's service of an answer or motion for summary		
23	judgment. The dismissal is effective on filing and no court order is required. <i>Id.</i> The plaintiff may dismiss some or all of the defendants, or some or all of his		
24	claims, through a Rule 41(a)(1) notice. Id.; Pedrina v. Chun, 987 F.2d 608, 609-		
25	10 (9th Cir. 1993). The filing of a notice of voluntary dismissal with the court automatically terminates the action as to the defendants who are the subjects of the notice. <i>Concha</i> , 62 E 2d at 1506. Unless otherwise stated, the dismissal is		
26	notice. <i>Concha</i> , 62 F.2d at 1506. Unless otherwise stated, the dismissal is ordinarily without prejudice to the plaintiff's right to commence another action for the same cause against the same defendants. <i>Id</i> . (citing <i>McKenzie v. Davenport-</i> <i>Harris Funeral Home</i> , 834 F.2d 930, 934-35 (9th Cir. 1987)). Such a dismissal leaves the parties as though no action had been brought. <i>Id</i> .		
27			
28	ieu, es ale parties as though no aeton na	2	

1	Wilson v. City of San Jose, 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997).	
2	No answers to Plaintiff's complaint and no motions for summary judgment have been filed	
3	in this case. It appears that no such answers or motions for summary judgment have been served.	
4	Because Plaintiff has exercised his right to voluntarily dismiss the complaint under Rule 41(a)(1),	
5	this case has terminated. <i>See Wilson</i> , 111 F.3d at 692.	
6	Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk is ordered to close this case in light	
7	of Plaintiff's Rule 41(a)(1)(i) requested dismissal without prejudice.	
8		
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
10	Dated: April 24, 2014 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston	
11	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		