1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	DANIEL G. VALENCIA,	1:13-cv-01391-LJO-DLB (PC)	
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR	
13	v.	APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL	
14	WINFRED KOKOR, M.D.,	(Document 37-1)	
15	Defendant.		
16	On February 2, 2015, Plaintiff filed a motion seeking the appointment of counsel. ¹		
17	Plaintiff does not have a constitutional right to appointed counsel in this action, <u>Rand v. Rowland</u> ,		
18	113 F.3d 1520, 1525 (9th Cir. 1997), and the Court cannot require an attorney to represent		
19 20	plaintiff pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Mallard v. United States District Court for the		
20	Southern District of Iowa, 490 U.S. 296, 298, 109 S.Ct. 1814, 1816 (1989). However, in certain		
21	exceptional circumstances, the Court may request the voluntary assistance of counsel pursuant to		
22	section 1915(e)(1). <u>Rand</u> , 113 F.3d at 1525.		
23 24	Without a reasonable method of securing and compensating counsel, the Court will seek		
24 25	volunteer counsel only in the most serious and exceptional cases. In determining whether		
25 26	"exceptional circumstances exist, the district court must evaluate both the likelihood of success of		
26 27	the merits [and] the ability of the [plaintiff] to articulate his claims pro se in light of the		
27 28			
28	¹ Plaintiff's motion also includes a request for injuncti	ve relief, which will be addressed by separate order.	

1	complexity of the legal issues involved." <u>Id</u> . (internal quotation marks and citations omitted).	
2	In the present case, the Court does not find the required exceptional circumstances. Even	
3	if it is assumed that Plaintiff is not well versed in the law and that he has made serious allegations	
4	which, if proved, would entitle him to relief, his case is not exceptional. This Court is faced with	
5	similar cases almost daily. Further, at this early stage in the proceedings, the Court cannot make	
6	a determination that Plaintiff is likely to succeed on the merits, and based on a review of the	
7	record in this case, the Court does not find that Plaintiff cannot adequately articulate his claims.	
8	<u>Id</u> .	
9	For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiff's motion for the appointment of counsel is HEREBY	
10	DENIED, without prejudice.	
11		
12	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
13	Dated: February 3, 2015 /s/ Dennis L. Beck	
14	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	2	