1	Timothy V. Magill, Esq., 082398 MAGILL LAW OFFICES				
	MAGILL LAW OFFICES 3475 W. Shaw Avenue, Suite 106				
2	Fresno, California 93711 Telephone: (559) 229-3333 Facsimile: (559) 229-4234				
3	Facsimile: (559) 229-4234				
4	magillstaff@yahoo.com				
5	Attorney for Plaintiff, NANCY JUNE NOVAK				
6					
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
8	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, FRESNO DIVISION				
9					
	NANCY JUNE NOVAK,	Case No.: 1:13-CV-01402-BAM			
10		Action Filed: Aug. 30, 2013			
11	Plaintiff,				
12	vs.				
13	CITY OF MERCED, A MUNICIPAL	STIPULATED AGREEMENT TO EXTEND SCHEDULING ORDER;			
14	CORPORATION, MERCED POLICE	SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION			
14	OFFICER RASMUSSEN (#161), INDIVIDUALLY AND IN HIS	OF DEFENDANTS, MENTOR			
15	OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS A POLICE	NETWORK, LOYD'S LIBERTY			
16	OFFICER FOR THE CITY OF MERCED,	HOMES, TRIGG AND BREWER; AND ORDER THEREON			
17	SGT. STRUBLE (ID #UNKNOWN),	ORDER THEREON			
	INDIVIDUALLY AND IN HIS				
18	OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS A POLICE SERGEANT FOR THE CITY OF				
19	MERCED, OFFICER CHAVEZ (#156),				
20	INDIVIDUALLY AND IN HIS				
21	OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS A POLICY				
	OFFICER FOR THE CITY OF MERCED,				
22	THE MENTOR NETWORK, LOYD'S LIBERTY HOMES, INC., A				
23	MASSATUCHETS CORPORATION				
24	DOING BUSINESS IN CALIFORNIA,				
25	CHRISTINA TRIGG, AN INDIVIDUAL,				
	JOELLA BREWER, AN INDIVIDUAL, CARE MERIDIAN CORPORATION,				
26	AND DOES 1-50, inclusive,				
27	12.2 2 3 2 5 7 5 6, Meldel 1 6,				
28	Defendants.				

1	Plaintiff, NANCY JUNE NOVAK, by and through her attorney of record,				
2	Timothy V. Magill, Esq. of Magill Law Offices in Fresno, California, and Defendants,				
3	THE MENTOR NETWORK, LOYD'S LIBERTY HOMES, CHRISTINA TRIGG, and				
4	JOELLA BREWER, by and through their attorney for record, Douglas C. Smith, Esq. of				
5	Riverside, California, and Defendants, MERCED POLICE DEPARTMENT, OFFICER				
6	RASMUSSEN, SGT. STRUBLE, and OFFICER CHAVEZ, by and through their				
7	attorney of record, Dale Long Allen, Jr., Esq. of San Francisco, California, hereby				
8	Stipulate and agree to continue the current Motion for Summary Judgment, filed by				
9	Defendants Mentor Network, et al., and extend the Briefing Schedule filed May 12, 2015				
10	as listed below:				
11	Continued Motion for Summary Judgment –with applicable deadlines, March 10, 2016.				
12		<u>Old</u>	<u>New</u>		
13	Non-Expert Discovery Cut-off	September 29, 2015	March 31, 2016		
14	Expert Discovery Cut-off	October 16, 2015	April 15, 2016		
15	Pre-trial/MSJ Filing Deadline	November 2, 2015	May 6, 2016		
16	Pre-trial Conference	January 27, 2016	August 10, 2016 at		
17			8:30 a.m.		
18	Jury Trial	March 29, 2016	September 12, 2016 at		
19			8:30 a.m.		
20	The reasons why counsel are stipulating to an extension of said Scheduling Order				
21	and also Defendants' Summary Judgment Motion, are:				
22	1. Medical				
23	On Sunday, November 8, 2015, Mr. Magill, attorney for Plaintiff in this matter,				

On Sunday, November 8, 2015, Mr. Magill, attorney for Plaintiff in this matter, suffered a heart attack. Mr. Magill underwent surgery and had two stents inserted into the arteries leading to his heart. Mr. Magill's surgeon told him that he absolutely could not work for over a week, and prescribed him several medications.

Counsel for Defendants were made aware of the medical condition of Mr. Magill

2 3

45

6

7 8

9

1011

12

13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20

2122

23

2425

26

27

28

on Monday, November 9, 2015.

Initially, it was requested that a short continuance / extension of time to respond to the Motion for Summary Judgment be agreed to. Based on personal experience of Defendants' counsel, it was agreed that more time than a week or two would be more probable for Mr. Magill to continue representation of Plaintiff and respond to the MSJ.

During the week of November 16, 2015 Mr. Magill was to have prepared the Stipulation / Agreement and circulate the document for signatures. However, due to medication issues and other issues related to the heart attack and aftermath of that event, Mr. Magill was not capable of preparing the Stipulation / Agreement.

# 2. Discovery

# a. Depositions

Plaintiff has been trying to depose Officer Rasmussen, but the Officer has had medical issues of his own, and has been off-work and on medication that has made it impossible for him to be deposed. Officer Rasmussen suffered a gunshot wound to his hand. Until recently, it has been unknown as to when Officer Rasmussen may be available for deposition. Plaintiff had also set the deposition for the doctor of Loyd's Home, et al., and others for September 28, 2015. This was Todd Marion, Maira Carranza and Dr. Charles Cano. The depositions were to be taken in Fresno and in Merced, since the parties would go to Dr. Cano's office in Merced. Those depositions were unable to go forward, as the parties were unable to confirm the date. Additionally, prior to that date, on or about September 22, 2015, depositions were set of various witnesses, including one that Plaintiff wishes to take, namely Joanne Bolton. There are additional persons who have been recently disclosed by the Mentor Network Defendants, namely Kali Murry and Gwen Hewitt for Loyd's Liberty Homes whose deposition needs to be taken. The deposition of Plaintiff's experts, Jack Smith, Rick Sarkisian and Aaron Pankratz were previously scheduled and cancelled in the month of October 2015. The deposition of Dr. Manual, who is the Director of the Maria Green facility where Plaintiff

was employed, will still need to be scheduled, as well as the rescheduling of Rita Townsend and others who treated Ms. Novak - Dr. Djevalikian, Barbara T. Mooreland, Deborah Ohanisian, Matthew D. House, Dwight Severt, Christine George, Tony Reid, and Karen Sogovia – also, Gary Comer, which were all set for October 7-9, 2015. These all conflicted with a previously scheduled trial entitled, *Jordan v. State of California, et al.*, venued in the San Luis Obispo County Courthouse. This was a four (4)-week scheduled trial that commenced on October 13, 2015. Additionally, Plaintiff's counsel had to be in San Luis Obispo during the week of September 28, 2015 and October 5, 2015 to prepare for the case.

## b. Written Discovery

There are discovery responses and discovery requests that have not been completed as of this date.

Mr. Magill has been in a four (4)-week trial in San Luis Obispo. Between trial preparation and last minute arguments, travel, and all of the issues of an out-of-town trial, Mr. Magill has been intimately involved with that particular case for over a two (2)-month period. The trial ended and a verdict came in the day after Mr. Magill's heart attack. He was unable to be at the San Luis Obispo County Courthouse for the verdict, as he was in the hospital.

### 3. Health Issues

Mr. Magill is trying to continue to improve his health and well-being. He is submitting to the orders of his physician as far as physical movement and other dictates. He is still weak and tires easily. Mr. Magill has not been able to work in his office for more than two (2) to three (3) days a week for no more than three (3) to four (4) hours. This includes phone calls, meeting with clients, potential clients, and / or working on pleadings or other documents. He has not regained his strength and / or vitality as of yet.

Presently, Mr. Magill has commenced cardiac physical therapy beginning the week of December 7, 2015. It is unknown at this time how long the cardiac physical

1	therapy will last at the hospital.				
2					
3					
4	4. Other Continuance / Extensions				
5	All parties agree that this matter should not be continued or the Scheduling Order				
6	be extended again, without good cause. In that vein, and in an abundance of caution,				
7	counsel have agreed to the proposed schedule above to avoid another continuance /				
8	extension of matters, if possible.				
9					
10	DATED: December 11, 2015.	MAG	ILL LAW OFFICES		
11		_			
12		By:	/s/ Timothy V. Magill Timothy V. Magill, Esq.		
13			Attorney for Plaintiff,		
14			NANCY JUNE NOVAK		
15	DATED: December 11, 2015.	SMIT	H LAW OFFICES		
16					
17		By:	<u>/s/ Douglas C. Smith</u> Douglas Charles Smith, Esq.		
18			Attorney for Defendants,		
19			THE MENTOR NEWTWORK, LOYD'S LIBERTY HOMES,		
20			CHRISTINA TRIGG		
21			and JOELLA BREWER		
22	DATED D 1 11 2017	A T T T	IN OLAFOONED HAZELWOOD		
23	DATED: December 11, 2015.	ALLEN, GLAESSNER, HAZELWOOD, WERTH			
24					
25		By:	/s/ Dale L. Allen, Jr.		
26			Dale Long Allen, Jr., Esq. Attorney for Defendants,		
27 28			MERCED POLICE DEPARTMENT,		
۷٥					
- 1	1				

#### 1 OFFICER RASMUSSEN, SGT. STRUBLE, and OFFICER CHAVEZ 2 3 **ORDER** 4 5 Having considered the parties' stipulation, the Court finds good cause for partial modification of the scheduling conference order and shall grant the requested relief as noted 6 7 below. The request to extend the deadlines for Non-Expert Discovery Cut-off and Expert Cut-8 off is DENIED for lack of good cause. Failure to timely set and complete discovery before the 9 relevant deadline is not good cause. The Court will accommodate Mr. Magill's health issues, but 10 those issues began after the non-expert and expert cut-off dates expired. Accordingly, IT IS 11 HEREBY ORDERED that the following schedule shall be adopted: 12 New Deadline Original Deadline 13 Non-Expert Discovery Cut-off for the deposition of 14 Rasmussen ONLY March 31, 2016 15 16 Pre-trial/MSJ Filing Deadline November 2, 2015 May 6, 2016 17 Pre-trial Conference January 27, 2016 August 1, 2016 at 18 8:30 a.m. 19 Jury Trial March 29, 2016 **September 13, 2016** 20 at 8:30 a.m. 21 The motion for summary judgment currently pending (Doc. 47) is DENIED 22 without prejudice based upon the continuance. In light of the schedule and Mr. Magill's 23 health, should the parties desire the Court to set a settlement conference, the parties 24 should contact Courtroom Deputy Harriet Herman at 559-499-5788. 25 IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 15, 2015

/s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

26

27

28