

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

GERONIMO SOLIS,

Plaintiff,

v.

MARIA COPE,

Defendant.

Case No. 1:13-cv-01421-SKO (PC)

ORDER DISMISSING ACTION, WITH
PREJUDICE, FOR FAILURE TO STATE
A CLAIM UPON WHICH RELIEF MAY
BE GRANTED

(Docs. 1 and 9)

ORDER THAT DISMISSAL IS SUBJECT
TO 28 U.S.C. § 1915(G)

Plaintiff Geronimo Solis, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, filed this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 on September 5, 2013. On May 20, 2014, the Court dismissed Plaintiff's complaint for failure to state a claim and ordered Plaintiff to file an amended complaint within thirty days. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A; 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e). More than thirty days have passed and Plaintiff has not complied with or otherwise responded to the Court's order.¹ As a result, there is no pleading on file which sets forth any claims upon which relief may be granted.

Accordingly, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A and 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e), this action is **HEREBY DISMISSED**, with prejudice, based on Plaintiff's failure to state a claim upon which

¹ **Error! Main Document Only.** On June 2, 2014, the United States Postal Service returned the order as undeliverable. A notation on the envelope indicates that Plaintiff has been discharged on parole. However, Plaintiff has not notified the Court of any change in his address. Absent such notice, service at a party's prior address is fully effective. Local Rule 182(f).

1 relief may be granted. This dismissal is subject to the “three-strikes” provision set forth in 28
2 U.S.C. § 1915(g). *Silva v. Di Vittorio*, 658 F.3d 1090, 1098-99 (9th Cir. 2011).

3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 27, 2014

/s/ Sheila K. Oberto
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE