

1
2
3
4
5
6 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE**
7 **EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
8

9
10 SARGIS SARKIZI, an individual,

1:13-cv-01435-AWI-SKO

11 Plaintiff,

**ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT’S
UNOPPOSED MOTION TO EXTEND
TIME TO RESPOND TO AMENDED
COMPLAINT**

12 v.

13 GRAHAM PACKAGING, a California
14 business entity form unknown, and Does 1
15 through 25, inclusive,

16 Defendant.
17 _____/

18 On June 17, 2014, Defendant Graham Packaging Company (“Defendant”) filed a motion
19 seeking extension of time to file an answer to Plaintiff’s amended complaint due to the pending
20 motion for reconsideration of the Magistrate Judge’s ruling, granting Plaintiff’s motion to amend
21 complain. Defendant has requested permission to delay filing an answer until fourteen (14) days
22 following this Court’s ruling on Defendant’s motion for reconsideration. On June 19, 2014,
23 Plaintiff Sargis Sarkizi (“Plaintiff”) filed a statement of non-opposition to Defendant’s motion.
24 Because Defendant’s motion is unopposed and because district courts have the inherent power to
25 control their dockets (*See Bautista v. Los Angeles County*, 216 F.3d 837, 841 (9th Cir. 2000)),
26 Defendant’s motion to extend time is GRANTED. Defendant will not be required to file a
27
28

1 responsive pleading, if at all, until fourteen (14) days following this Court's ruling on
2 Defendant's motion for reconsideration.

3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 24, 2014



SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE