(PC) McCoy	v. Garikaparthi et al	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT
6		
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9	LAKEITH MCCOY,) 1:13-cv-01495-DAD-BAM (PC)
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER DIRECTING DEFENDANTS GARIKAPARTHI, STEIBER, KEELER
11	V.) AND CHAVEZ TO FILE RESPONSIVE) PLEADING
12	M. GARIKAPARTHI, et al.,)) TWENTY-ONE DAY DEADLINE
13	Defendants.) I WENT I-ONE DAT DEADLINE)
14)
15	Plaintiff LaKeith McCoy ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma	
16	pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This action currently proceeds	
17	against Defendants Garikaparthi, Steiber, Keeler and Chavez ("Defendants") for violation of	
18	Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment rights arising from the alleged deprivation of adequate food.	
19	On March 3, 2017, the Court denied Defendants' motion to dismiss. (ECF No. 48.)	
20	Accordingly, Defendants shall file an answer or other responsive pleading to Plaintiff's first	
21	amended complaint within twenty-one (21) days from the date of service of this order.	
22	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
23		
24	Dated: April 4, 2017	/s/Barbara A. McAuliffe
25		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
26		
27		
28		
		1

Doc. 49