27 28	x: 310.888.7771 orneys for Plaintiff, mardina Rodriguez UNITED STATE EASTERN DISTRICT RNARDINA RODRIGUEZ, on half of herself, all others similarly hated, Plaintiff,				
	CO BELL CORP, a California				
25 26	CO BELL CORP, a California poration; and DOES 1-50, inclusive,				
	CO BELL CORP, a California poration; and DOES 1-50, inclusive,				
25	CO BELL CORP, a California poration; and DOES 1-50, inclusive,				
24 25	CO BELL CORP, a California poration; and DOES 1-50, inclusive,				
23 24 25	CO BELL CORP, a California poration; and DOES 1-50, inclusive,				
22 23 24 25	CO BELL CORP, a California poration; and DOES 1-50, inclusive,				
19 20 21 22 23 24 25	CO BELL CORP, a California				
19 20 21 22 23 24 25					
17 corporation; and DOES 1-50, inclusive, 18 Defendants. 19	Y D .				
16 TACO BELL CORP, a California corporation; and DOES 1-50, inclusive, 18 Defendants. 19					
14 VS. 15 VS. 16 TACO BELL CORP, a California corporation; and DOES 1-50, inclusive, 18 Defendants. 20 21 22 23 24 25	Plaintiff,	SCHEDULING ORDER			
14 Plaintiff, 15 vs. 16 TACO BELL CORP, a California 17 corporation; and DOES 1-50, inclusive, 18 Defendants. 20 21 22 23 24 25	-				
situated, <i>Plaintiff</i> , vs. TACO BELL CORP, a California corporation; and DOES 1-50, inclusive, <i>Defendants</i> . Defendants.		Case No: 1:13-CV-01498-SAB			
 Joint Stille Control of the self, all others similarly situated, <i>Plaintiff</i>, vs. TACO BELL CORP, a California corporation; and DOES 1-50, inclusive, <i>Defendants</i>. 					
BERNARDINA RODRIGUEZ, on behalf of herself, all others similarly situated, <i>Plaintiff</i> , VS. <i>Plaintiff</i> , VS. TACO BELL CORP, a California corporation; and DOES 1-50, inclusive, <i>Defendants</i> . Case No: 1:13-CV-01498-SAB JOINT STIPULATION TO AMEND SCHEDULING ORDER	FASTERN DISTRICT	OF CALIFORNIA – FRESNO			
 Joint Stille Control of the self, all others similarly situated, <i>Plaintiff</i>, vs. TACO BELL CORP, a California corporation; and DOES 1-50, inclusive, <i>Defendants</i>. 	UNITED STATE	ES DISTRICT COURT			
In EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – FRESNO In BERNARDINA RODRIGUEZ, on behalf of herself, all others similarly situated, Case No: 1:13-CV-01498-SAB In <i>Plaintiff</i> , JOINT STIPULATION TO AMEND SCHEDULING ORDER In <i>Plaintiff</i> , Scheduling order In VS. TACO BELL CORP, a California corporation; and DOES 1-50, inclusive, In <i>Defendants</i> . Defendants. In Internet of the self of	-				
Attorneys for Plaintiff, Bernardina Rodriguez UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – FRESNO EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – FRESNO EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – FRESNO Case No: 1:13-CV-01498-SAB JOINT STIPULATION TO AMEND SCHEDULING ORDER JOINT STIPULATION TO AMEND CASE NO: 1:13-CV-01498-SAB Defendants. Defendants. Defendants.					
6 Attorneys for Plaintiff, Bernardina Rodriguez 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – FRESNO 10 BERNARDINA RODRIGUEZ, on behalf of herself, all others similarly situated, Case No: 1:13-CV-01498-SAB 12 Plaintiff, SCHEDULING ORDER 13 Plaintiff, SCHEDULING ORDER 14 Plaintiff, SCHEDULING ORDER 15 VS. ACO BELL CORP, a California corporation; and DOES 1-50, inclusive, Defendants. 18 Defendants. Defendants. 19 Image: Corporation of the set					
 Tel: 310.888.7771 Fax: 310.888.7771 Fax: 310.888.7771 Attorneys for Plaintiff, Bernardina Rodriguez UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – FRESNO BERNARDINA RODRIGUEZ, on behalf of herself, all others similarly situated, <i>Plaintiff</i>, vs. <i>Plaintiff</i>, vs. <i>Defendants</i>. <i>Defendants</i>. 	•				
 Beverly Hills, California 90212 Tel: 310.888.7771 Fax: 310.888.7771 Attorneys for Plaintiff, Bernardina Rodriguez UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – FRESNO BERNARDINA RODRIGUEZ, on behalf of herself, all others similarly situated, <i>Plaintiff</i>, Vs. TACO BELL CORP, a California corporation; and DOES 1-50, inclusive, <i>Defendants.</i> <i>Defendants.</i> 	verly Hills, California 90212	shaun@setarehlaw.com SETAREH LAW GROUP			
 SETAREH LAW GROUP 9454 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 711 Beverly Hills, California 90212 Tel: 310.888.7771 Fax: 310.888.7771 Attorneys for Plaintiff, Bernardina Rodriguez UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – FRESNO BERNARDINA RODRIGUEZ, on behalf of herself, all others similarly situated, BERNARDINA RODRIGUEZ, on behalf of herself, all others similarly situated, <i>Plaintiff</i>, vs. TACO BELL CORP, a California corporation; and DOES 1-50, inclusive, <i>Defendants</i>. <i>Defendants</i>. 	TAREH LAW GROUP 54 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 711 verly Hills, California 90212				
 6 Atto 7 Berr 8 9 10 11 BEF 12 beha 13 14 15 16 TAC 17 Corp 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 		AREH LAW GROUP 4 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 711 erly Hills, California 90212 310.888.7771			

JOINT STIPULATION

TO THE HONORABLE COURT, ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF **RECORD:**

Pursuant to Eastern District of California Local Rule 143, Plaintiff Bernardina Rodriguez ("Rodriguez") and Defendant Taco Bell Corp. ("Taco Bell") (collectively, the "Parties"), by and through their respective counsel, stipulate and agree to amend the discovery briefing schedule with limited exceptions, in recognition of the following:

WHEREAS ON June 25, 2013, Rodriguez filed her First Amended Complaint ("FAC") in which she alleges the following claims for relief for herself and on behalf of seven subclasses of current and former hourly employees who worked at Taco Bell corporately-owned restaurants in California:

12	(a) Penalties for failure to provide meal periods to hourly restaurant workers from
12	May 15, 2009, until the resolution of the lawsuit;
13 14	(b) Penalties for failure to provide rest periods to hourly restaurant workers from
14	May 15, 2009, until the resolution of the lawsuit;
	(c) Violation of Labor Code §§510, 1194 and 1198 (unpaid minimum and
16	overtime wages) from May 15, 2009 until the resolution of the lawsuit;
17	(d) Failure to provide accurate wage statements pursuant to Labor Code § 226(a);
18	(e) Violation of California Labor Code §§ 201 and 202 (non-payment of wages
19	upon termination) from May 15, 2009, until the resolution of the lawsuit;
20	(f) Violation of California Business and Professions Code §§ 17200, et seq.
21	seeking "restitution" during a period that commences on May 15, 2009, and
22	which extends until the resolution of the lawsuit;
23	(g) Penalties under PAGA, Labor Code §§ 2698, et seq. on behalf of all aggrieved
24	employees from June 25, 2012 to the resolution of the lawsuit (Rodriguez seeks
25	penalties for violations of Labor Code Sections 201, 202, 203, 204, 223,
26	226(a), 226.7, 256, 510, 512, 1194, 1197, and 1198); and
27	(h) Failure to include employee meal discounts in California non-exempt
28	employees' regular rate for the purposes of calculating overtime wage

payments.

WHEREAS ON July 9, 2013, Taco Bell filed a motion to dismiss, challenging the FAC in its entirety;

WHEREAS ON October 30, 2013, the Court denied Taco Bell's motion to dismiss;

WHEREAS the Parties have extensively met and conferred by telephone and have agreed to engage in limited formal and informal discovery and to prepare dispositive pre-trial motions regarding whether Taco Bell's voluntary discounted meal program violates California law or impacts Taco Bell's California employees' overtime pay;

WHEREAS the Parties have propounded written discovery, but have subsequently agreed to stay all discovery, with the following limited exceptions related to Taco Bell's discounted meal policy and all related and/or derivative claims:

a. Rodriguez will depose Taco Bell pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(6);

- b. Taco Bell will depose Rodriguez; and Taco Bell will produce its employee meal discount policy and make available for inspection records of California employees' discounted meals.
- c. The Parties will provide verified responses to all relevant written discovery relating to the claims that will be adjudicated on cross motions for summary judgment/adjudication.

WHEREAS the Parties agreed to stipulate to a protective order governing the production and use of confidential and private documents prior to the exchange of discovery;

WHEREAS, the Parties agreed to vacate current class certification deadlines and continue to meet and confer regarding the dispositive pre-trial motions;

WHEREAS, on April 23, 2014 the Parties agreed and the Court has ordered the parties to file dispositive pre-trial motions regarding Taco Bell's voluntary discounted meal program and related claims pursuant to the following briefing schedule:

- a. Cross Motions for Summary Judgment will be filed June 18, 2014.
- b. The Parties' Oppositions will be filed on July 25, 2014.

c. The Parties' Reply Briefs will be filed on August 8, 2014.

 d. The requested hearing date is September 10, 2014 at 10:00 a.m. before Magistrate Judge Stanley A. Boone.

1	THE PARTIES NOW agree and request to amend the briefing schedule as follows:		
2	a. Cross Motions for Summary Judgment will be filed July 23, 2014.		
3	b. The Parties' Oppositions will be filed on August 13, 2014.		
4	c. The Parties' Reply Briefs will be filed on August 20, 2014.		
5	d. The requested hearing date to remain on September 10, 2014 at 10:00 a.m.		
6	before Magistrate Judge Stanley A. Boone.		
7			
8	THE PARTIES SO STIPU	JLATE.	
9	Dated: May 8, 2014	SETAREH LAW GROUP	
10		By: <u>/s/ Shaun Setareh</u>	
11		Shaun Setareh	
12		Attorneys for Plaintiff, Bernardina Rodriguez	
13			
14			
15	Dated: May 8, 2014	SHEPPARD MULLIN	
16			
17		By: <u>/s/Nora K. Stiles</u>	
18		Nora K. Stiles Attorneys for Defendant,	
19 20		Taco Bell Corp.	
20			
21			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
ľ			
		3	

$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		ES DISTRICT COURT DF CALIFORNIA – FRESNO Case No: 1:13-CV-01498-SAB ORDER RE JOINT STIPULATION TO AMEND SCHEDULING ORDER
24		-
	Defendants.	
	-	
	VS.	
18	Plaintiff,	
17		
16		ORDER REJOINT STIPULATION TO
		Case No: 1:13-CV-01498-SAB
	EASTERN DISTRICT (OF CALIFORNIA – FRESNO
	UNITED STATE	ES DISTRICT COURT
8		
7		
6		
5		

1	<u>ORDER</u>
2	TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:
3	Having considered the Joint Stipulation between counsel for Plaintiff Bernardina
4	Rodriguez and Defendant Taco Bell Corp. to Amend the Scheduling Order, and good
5	cause appearing therefore, this Court hereby ORDERS that the that the briefing schedule
6	regarding discovery, as follows:
7	a. Cross Motions for Summary Judgment will be filed July 23, 2014.
8	b. The Parties' Oppositions will be filed on August 13, 2014.
9	c. The Parties' Reply Briefs will be filed on August 20, 2014.
10	d. The requested hearing date to remain on September 10, 2014 at 10:00 a.m.
11	before Magistrate Judge Stanley A. Boone.
12	
13	IT IS SO ORDERED.
14	
15	IT IS SO ORDERED.
16	Dated: May 8, 2014
17	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2