

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BERNARDINA RODRIGUEZ,

Plaintiff,

v.

TACO BELL CORP.,

Defendant.

Case No. 1:13-cv-01498-SAB

ORDER REQUIRING PLAINTIFF TO
SHOW CAUSE WHY SANCTIONS
SHOULD NOT ISSUE FOR FAILURE TO
COMPLY WITH COURT ORDER AND
CONTINUING PRETRIAL CONFERENCE
TO MARCH 4, 2016

(ECF No. 43)

DEADLINE: FEBRUARY 29, 2016

On December 16, 2013, a scheduling order issued in this action setting forth pretrial dates. (ECF No. 43.) There is a pretrial conference set for February 26, 2016 and pursuant to the scheduling order and Local Rules of the Eastern District of California, the parties were to submit a joint pretrial statement by Friday, February 19, 2016. L.R. 281.

On February 19, 2016, Defendant filed a pretrial statement indicating that it was unable to obtain Plaintiff's compliance in submitting a joint statement. Plaintiff did not participate in the pretrial statement, file a pretrial statement, or otherwise address the requirement set forth in the December 16, 2013 scheduling order.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The pretrial conference set for February 26, 2016, is CONTINUED to March 4,

1 2016, at 3:00 p.m. in Courtroom 9;

- 2 2. On or before February 29, 2016, Plaintiff shall show cause in writing why
3 sanctions should not issue for the failure to comply with this court's December
4 16, 2013 scheduling order. **Plaintiff is forewarned that the failure to show**
5 **cause may result in the imposition of sanctions, including the dismissal of this**
6 **action for failure to prosecute.**

7
8 IT IS SO ORDERED.

9 Dated: February 22, 2016


UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE