

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CELIA RAMIREZ,  
Plaintiff  
v.  
NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER  
CORPORATION, *et al.*,  
Defendants.

Case No. 1:13-cv-01506-DAD-EPG  
**ORDER DIRECTING THE CLERK OF  
THE COURT TO TERMINATE  
DEFENDANTS**  
(ECF No. 279)

On August 22, 2017, Plaintiff Celia Ramirez filed a stipulation for voluntary dismissal dismissing her claims against Defendants National Railroad Passenger Corporation a/k/a Amtrak and Barbara Neu. (ECF No. 279).

In light of the parties’ stipulation, the claims against Defendants National Railroad Passenger Corporation a/k/a Amtrak and Barbara Neu have been terminated, *see* Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A)(ii); *Wilson v. City of San Jose*, 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997), and dismissed with prejudice. *Concha v. London*, 62 F.3d 1493, 1506 (9th Cir. 1995) (“Even if the defendant has filed a motion to dismiss, the plaintiff may terminate his action voluntarily by filing a notice of dismissal under Rule 41(a)(1).”).

\\  
\\  
\\

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Accordingly, the Clerk of the Court is DIRECTED to terminate National Railroad Passenger Corporation a/k/a Amtrak and Barbara Neu as Defendants in this case.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 28, 2017

/s/ Eric P. Gray  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE