1	James D. Emerson, No. 042031		
2	EMERSON · SORENSEN · CHURCH		
3	2520 WEST SHAW LANE, SUITE 102 FRESNO, CALIFORNIA 93711-2765 Telephone: (559) 432-7641		
4	Facsimile: (559) 432-7639 www.lawemerson.com		
5	Attorneys for Defendants and Cross-Complainant	s, YOUNG'S COMMERCIAL TRANSFER, INC.	
6	and RIGOBERTO FERNANDEZ JIMENEZ, individually and doing business as JIMENEZ TRUCKING		
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DIVISION		
10	NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER	Case No. 1:13-CV-01506-AWI-GSA	
11	CORPORATION,	(Consolidated with Case No. 1:13-CV-02085-AWI-GSA)	
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION TO CONTINUE	
13	VS.	DISCOVERY DEADLINES AND ORDER	
14	YOUNG'S COMMERCIAL TRANSFER, INC., a corporation, and RIGOBERTO FERNANDEZ		
15	JIMENEZ, an individual,		
16	d/b/a JIMENEZ TRUCKING,		
17	Defendants.	COMPLAINT FILED: September 17, 2013	
18	CELIA RAMIREZ,		
19	Plaintiff,		
20	VS.		
21	RIGOBERTO FERNANDEZ JIMENEZ,		
22	JIMENEZ TRUCKING, NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER CORPORATION,		
23	BARBARA NEU, BNSF RAILWAY COMPANY, YOUNG'S COMMERCIAL		
24	TRANSFER, INC., and DOES 1 to 200, Inclusive,		
25	Defendants.		
26		COMPLAINT FILED: September 18, 2013	
27	AND RELATED CROSS-ACTIONS		
28			

1	The parties have met and conferred regarding discovery and mediation in this matter. The		
2	parties are still in the process of taking depositions, after which they intend to mediate the Ramirez		
3	case. The continuance of discovery cu	t-off dates would allow this to be accomplished. These	
4	extensions of time will not affect the pending pretrial or trial dates.		
5	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the parties hereto, through their respective		
6	attorneys of record that dates in the Order on Amended Stipulation to Continue Trial Date and		
7	Discovery Deadlines dated April 23, 2015, be modified as follows:		
8	Non Expert Discovery Cutoff:	October 16, 2015	
9	Expert Disclosure:	October 23, 2015	
10	Rebuttal Expert Disclosure:	November 13, 2015	
11	Expert Discovery Cutoff:	January 15, 2016	
12	Non-dispositive Motion Filing De	adline: January 22, 2016	
13	Dispositive Motion Filing Deadlin	ne: February 26, 2016	
14	IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED that:		
15	1. These modified dates do not relate to written discovery; and		
16	2. All non-expert depositions shall be noticed by no later than August 29, 2015.		
17	DATED: August 29, 2015	REICH LAW FIRM	
18			
19	By <u>/s/ Jeff Reich</u> Jeff Reich		
20		Attorney for Plaintiff CELIA RAMIREZ	
21	DATED: August 20, 2015	LOMBARDI LOPER & CONANT, LLP	
22			
23		By _/s/_B. Clyde Hutchinson B.Clyde Hutchinson	
24		Attorney for Defendants, NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER CORPORATION	
25		aka AMTRAK, BNSF RAILWAY COMPANY and	
26		BARBARA ANN NEU	
27			
28	///		

1	DATED: August 20, 2015	EMERSON · SORENSEN · CHURCH	
2			
3		By _/s/ James D. Emerson James D. Emerson Attorney for Defendants and Cross-	
5		Complainants YOUNG'S COMMERCIAL TRANSFER, INC. and RIGOBERTO	
6		FERNANDEZ JIMENEZ, individually and dba JIMENEZ TRUCKING	
7			
8	ORDER		
9	The Court, having considered the parties' Stipulation to Continue Discovery Deadlines (ECF		
10	No. 37), and good cause appearing, has adjusted the parties' proposed dates and orders the following		
11	deadlines to be modified as follows:		
12	Non Expert Discovery Cutoff:	October 16, 2015	
13	Expert Disclosure:	October 23, 2015	
14	Rebuttal Expert Disclosure:	November 13, 2015	
15	Expert Discovery Cutoff:	January 15, 2016	
16	Non-dispositive Motion Filing Dead	dline: January 22, 2016	
17	Dispositive Motion Filing Deadline	: February 26, 2016	
18	Pretrial Conference:	May 26, 2016	
19		Time: 10:00 a.m.	
20		Courtroom 2	
21	Trial:	July 26, 2016	
22		Time: 8:30 a.m.	
23		Courtroom 2	
24	The extension to the non-expert discovery cutoff date is provided solely to complete		
25	depositions as required by the parties. Non-expert depositions shall be noticed by no later than		
26	August 29, 2015. The Court notes that this Stipulation is the third stipulation to be submitted by the		
27	parties that has failed to comport with the guidelines set forth by the Court on November 6, 2014.		
28			
20			

1	(ECF No. 31.) The parties are cautioned that the Court will view future requests with similar	
2	deficiencies with great disfavor.	
3		
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
5	Dated: August 25, 2015 /s/ Gary S. Austin UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		