1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	PETE HERNANDEZ VASQUEZ,	) Case No.: 1:13-cv-01519 - JLT
12	Plaintiff,	) ORDER TO PLAINTIFF TO SHOW CAUSE WHY
13	v.	<ul><li>) THE ACTION SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED</li><li>) FOR HIS FAILURE TO PROSECUTE AND</li></ul>
14	COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,	<ul><li>) FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THE COURT'S</li><li>) ORDER</li></ul>
15	Defendant.	)
16		)
17	On May 20, 2014, the parties stipulated for Plaintiff Pete Hernandez Vasquez ("Plaintiff") to	
18	have an extension of time to file an opening brief in this action. (Doc. 12.) Accordingly, the Court	
19	ordered Plaintiff to "file an opening brief on or before <b>June 20, 2014</b> ." (Doc. 13, emphasis in original).	
20	To date, Plaintiff has failed to file an opening brief in compliance with the Court's order, or take any	
21	further action to prosecute the matter.	
22	The Local Rules, corresponding with Fed. R. Civ. P. 11, provide: "Failure of counsel or of a	
23	party to comply with any order of the Court may be grounds for the imposition by the Court of any	
24	and all sanctions within the inherent power of the Court." Local Rule 110. "District courts have	
25	inherent power to control their dockets," and in exercising that power, a court may impose sanctions	
26	including dismissal of an action. Thompson v. Housing Authority of Los Angeles, 782 F.2d 829, 831	
27	(9th Cir. 1986). A court may dismiss an action with prejudice, based on a party's failure to prosecute	
28	an action or failure to obey a court order, or failure to comply with local rules. See, e.g. Ferdik v.	

1	Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258, 1260-61 (9th Cir. 1992) (dismissal for failure to comply with an order);	
2	Malone v. U.S. Postal Service, 833 F.2d 128, 130 (9th Cir. 1987) (dismissal for failure to comply with	
3	a court order); Henderson v. Duncan, 779 F.2d 1421, 1424 (9th Cir. 1986) (dismissal for failure to	
4	prosecute and to comply with local rules).	
5	Accordingly, Plaintiff is <b>ORDERED</b> to show why the action should not be dismissed for his	
6	failure to prosecute and failure comply with the Court's order or, in the alternative, to file an opening	
7	brief <u>no later than July 7, 2014</u> .	
8		
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
10	Dated: June 25, 2014 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston	
11	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	