UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DALE L. COTTRELL,

Plaintiff,

v.

FELIX IGBINOSA, et al.,

Defendants.

DALE L. COTTRELL,

Case No.: 1:13-cv-01530-LJO-SAB (PC)

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY DOES 1

THROUGH 3 SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED

FROM THE ACTION

THIRTY DAY DEADLINE

Plaintiff Dale L. Cottrell is appearing pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On October 23, 2014, the Court screened Plaintiff's second amended complaint and found that it stated a claim against Defendants Berard, Das, Duenas, Igbinosa, Lackey, Ogbuehi, Park and Does 1 through 3, for deliberate indifference to a serious medical need in violation of the Eighth Amendment. (ECF No. 24.) The discovery and scheduling order in this action was entered on April 16, 2016, with the deadline to file any amended pleading of October 16, 2015. (ECF No. 36 at 2.) Plaintiff did not move to file an amended complaint prior to the deadline to amend the complaint. On July 25, 2016, Defendants Berard, Das, Duenas, Igbinosa, Lackey, Ogbuehi, and Park filed a motion for summary judgment. (ECF No. 78.)

In this instance, Plaintiff has been given ample opportunity to conduct discovery and to file an amended complaint as to the identity of the "Doe" Defendants, yet he has failed to do. Accordingly,

Plaintiff is directed to show cause within thirty (30) days from the date of service of this order as to	
why these "Doe" Defendants should not be dismissed from the action.	
IT IS SO ORDERED.	SIP
Dated: February 8, 2017	July N. Lase
	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
	why these "Doe" Defendants should not be dist