1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	SAMUEL MERCARDO, et al.,) Case No.: 1:13-cv-01535 - AWI- JLT
12	Plaintiffs,) ORDER MODIFYING THE COURT'S) SCHEDULING ORDER
13	v.) SCHEDULING ORDER
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al.,)
15	Defendants.)
16		<u></u>)
17	On October 11, 2017, the parties filed a stipulation to extend the deadlines in the Court's	
18	scheduling order for purposes of continuing discovery in the action. (Doc. 76) The parties report that	
19	additional time is required to complete depositions, and respond to requests for written discovery and	
20	the production of documents. (<i>Id.</i> at 1) Based upon the stipulation of the parties and good cause	
21	appearing, the Court's Scheduling Order is amended as follows:	
22	1. Non-expert discovery SHALL	be completed no later than February 19, 2018;
23	2. The parties SHALL complete e	expert discovery no later than April 20, 2018;
24	3. Plaintiff SHALL disclose expen	rt witnesses, in writing, on or before February 26, 2018;
25	and Defendant SHALL disclose all expert witnesses, in writing, no later than March 29, 2018 . Any	
26	rebuttal experts for Plaintiff SHALL be disclosed	sed no later than April 12, 2018 ¹ ; and
27		
28	¹ The parties proposed a deadline of April 26, 2 deadline falls prior to the proposed deadline for Defenda	2018 for Plaintiff to disclose rebuttal experts. However, this ant to disclose its experts.

Ш

1	4. All non-dispositive pre-trial motions, including any discovery motions, shall be filed no	
2	later than April 20, 2018, and heard on or before May 25, 2018.	
3	<u>No further modifications of the Court's Scheduling Order are authorized at this time.</u>	
4		
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
6	Dated: October 12, 2017 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston	
7	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25 26		
26 27		
27		
20		
	2	