Warkentine et al v. Soria et al Doc. 78

1	G. DAVID ROBERTSON, ESQ. California State Bar No. 111984 ROBERTSON, JOHNSON, MILLER & WILLIAMSON Bank of America Plaza	
2		
3	50 West Liberty Street, Suite 600 Reno, Nevada 89501	
4	Telephone No. (775) 329-5600 Facsimile No. (775) 348-8300	
5	Attorneys for EDWARD WARKENTINE and DANIEL TANKERSLEY	
6		
7		NETDICT COURT
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA - FRESNO DIVISION	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALL	FORNIA - FRESNO DIVISION
10		GAGENO 4 12 GV 01550 MIG
11	EDWARD WARKENTINE, an individual; and DANIEL TANKERSLEY, an individual,	CASE NO.: 1:13-CV-01550-MJS
12	Plaintiffs,	***AMENDED*** STIPULATION AND
13	v.	ORDER TO CONTINUE TRIAL (FIRST REQUEST) AND RELATED
14	HECTOR J. SORIA; DAN GRASSERAND;	DISCOVERY AND MOTION DEADLINES
15	JOHNNY A. LEMUS; HECTOR LIZARRAGA; KRISTAL CHOJNACKI;	
16	GERRY GALVIN; FRANCISCO AMADOR; JOSEPH R. AMADOR; LEO CAPUCHINO; JOHN ELORES, ROBERT SILVA, CITY OF	
17	JOHN FLORES; ROBERT SILVA; CITY OF MENDOTA; JOSEPH RIOFRIO; BRYCE ATKINS; KORINA ZAMORA; MARTIN	
18	HERNANDEZ; SMITTY'S TOWING &	
19	AUTO DISMANTLING; ABRAHAM GONZALEZ; FELIPE GONZALEZ; GONZALEZ TOWING & TIRE SHOP; AND	
20	DOES 1 through 20, inclusive,	
21	Defendants.	
22		
23	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND	AGREED by the parties hereto, that a sho
24	continuance of the trial in this matter should be granted in the interests of justice to both allo	

26

27

rt the parties to complete discovery and also allow adequate time for the parties to brief, and for the Court to consider, dispositive motions expected to be filed in this matter. This is the first request for a continuance of the trial in this matter.

Robertson, Johnson, Miller & Williamson 50 West Liberty Street, Suite 600 Reno, Nevada 89501

The parties have been working diligently in discovery to prepare for trial; however, the parties have encountered various issues which necessitate a continuance. For example, Plaintiffs recently located a large volume of documents which did not previously appear to be important to the prosecution of this case, but which now appear to be relevant in light of facts uncovered to date during the discovery process. Plaintiffs are thus currently working on a supplemental production. The Defendants will need additional time to review those documents, depose additional witnesses disclosed by the documents, and prepare their dispositive motion(s). In addition, Plaintiffs are awaiting certain discovery responses from the City Defendants, which Plaintiffs must review prior to taking some of the depositions, and those responses may also require additional investigation. Further, the parties have encountered scheduling conflicts with some of the necessary depositions, which have also impacted the parties' ability to file and respond to dispositive motions under the current schedule. Finally, the parties understand and share the Court's concern in its March 31, 2015 Order Amending Scheduling Order regarding the compressed timeline to resolve the dispositive motions. Thus, the parties now seek a short continuance to allow the parties to complete discovery and to further allow adequate time for the parties to brief, and for the Court to consider, all dispositive motions filed in this matter.

The below parties therefore respectfully request an approximately four-month continuance of the trial to the end of January 2016 or beginning of February 2016, or another time that is mutually agreeable to the parties and the Court. The below parties also request that expert and non-expert discovery be extended at least to August 21, 2015, the non-dispositive motion filing deadline to August 31, 2015, and the dispositive motion filing deadline to September 30, 2015.

We have also attempted to secure the signatures of the two pro per Gonzalez Defendants without success, but they have verbally agreed to the continuance.

Dated: May 8, 2015

ROBERTSON, JOHNSON, MILLER & WILLIAMSON

/s/ G. David Robertson_

G. David Robertson, Attorneys for Plaintiffs

27

26

1	FIKE & BORANIAN	
2	/s/ David A. Fike	
3	David A. Fike, Attorneys for Defendants City of Mendota, et al.	
4		
5		
6	<u>ORDER</u>	
7	Pursuant to the stipulation of the parties, IT IS SO ORDERED that the Trial set in this	
8	matter is continued to February 9, 2016 at 8:30 AM in Courtroom 6 (MJS) before U.S.	
9	Magistrate Judge Michael J. Seng. Further, expert and non-expert discovery may continue until	
10	August 21, 2015, the non-dispositive motion filing deadline is extended to August 31, 2015, and	
11	the dispositive motion filing deadline is extended to September 30, 2015.	
12		
13	IT IS SO OPPEDED	
14	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
15	Dated: May 13, 2015 Isl Michael J. Seng	
16	UNITED STATES MÄGISTRATE JUDGE	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

27