1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	FELIPE QUINONES,	No. 1:13-cv-01553-LJO -GSA
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER REGARDING SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE PROCEDURES
14	PATICK R. DONAHOE,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	Consideration of settlement is a serious matter that requires thorough preparation prior to	
18	the settlement conference. Provided below are the procedures this Court will employ when	
19	conducting the settlement conference scheduled for June 25, 2015, at 10:30 a.m.	
20	A. Required Pre-Settlement Conference Communications	
21	A settlement conference is more likely to be productive if the parties have exchanged	
22	written settlement proposals in advance of the conference. Accordingly, at least twenty-one (21	
23	calendar days prior to the settlement conference, plaintiff's counsel shall submit a written	
24	itemization of damages and a good faith settlement demand to defendant's counsel with a brief	
25	explanation of why the demand is appropriate. Thereafter, but no later than seven (7) calendar	
26	days prior to the settlement conference, defendant's counsel shall submit a good faith written	
27	offer to plaintiff's counsel with a brief explanation of why the offer is appropriate.	

On occasion, this process will lead directly to a settlement. If settlement is not achieved,

1 plaintiff's counsel shall deliver or fax copies of all settlement proposals along with his/her 2 Confidential Settlement Conference Statement to chambers. Copies of these documents are not to 3 be filed on the court docket. B. Confidential Settlement Conference Statement 4 5 At least four (4) calendar days prior to the settlement conference, the parties shall submit 6 the Confidential Settlement Conference Statement to Judge Austin's chambers via 7 gsaorders@caed.uscourts.gov. This statement shall include the following: 8 1. A list of all elements of each cause of action or affirmative defense pled in 9 the party's respective complaint or answer; 10 A brief statement identifying those facts that support each cause of action or affirmative defense; 11 12 3. A description outlining the factual and legal contentions upon which the 13 parties agree or disagree; 14 A description identifying the impediments to settlement, including 4. financial, emotional or legal concerns; 15 16 5. A summary of the settlement attempts to date; 17 6. A statement of the specific relief sought; and 18 7. A statement identifying any third party (i.e., lien holder, etc.) with a legal 19 interest in this action. 20 C. Attendance 21 The attorneys who will try the case shall appear at the settlement conference accompanied by the named parties and all persons having authority to negotiate the settlement. If appropriate, 22 23 the principal representative shall have approval to settle the action on the terms consistent with 24 the opposing party's most recent demand. 25 D. Mediation Format 26 ¹Insurance carriers, business organizations, and governmental agencies whose settlement agreements are

in the process of approval of any settlement offers or agreements.

27

28

subject to approval by legislative bodies, executive committees, boards of directors, et cetera, shall be represented by

a person or persons who occupy high executive positions in the party organization and who will be directly involved

A mediation format will be employed during the settlement conference. The lawyers, the parties, and all representatives must be fully prepared and will be expected to participate. The Court encourages all participants to be flexible and to reassess their previous positions, as well as put to forth their best efforts toward reaching a mutually agreeable settlement. E. Statements Inadmissible The Court expects full and candid participation during the settlement conference. With this in mind, statements made by any party or attorney during the settlement conference are not to be used in discovery and will not be admissible at trial. F. Sanctions Failure to follow this procedure will result in removal of the settlement conference from the Court's calendar and may result in additional sanctions. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: May 22, 2015 /s/ Gary S. Austin UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE