1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	CURLY JOHN BROUSSARD, JR.,	1:13-cv-01569-LJO-GSA-PC
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR STAY
13	vs.	MOTION FOR STAT (Doc. 20.) ORDER GRANTING EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE OBJECTIONS THIRTY DAY DEADLINE TO FILE
14	JEFFREY BEARD, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		OBJECTIONS TO FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
17		(Doc. 19.)
18		
19		
20		

I. BACKGROUND

21

22

23

24

25

27

28

Curly John Broussard, Jr. ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff filed this action on June 13, 2013 at the United States District Court for the Central District of California. (Doc. 1.) On September 26, 2013, the case was transferred to the Eastern District of California. (Doc. 13.)

26 On November 15, 2013, the court entered findings and recommendations to dismiss this action based on Plaintiff's failure to comply with the court's order of October 4, 2013, which required him to either pay the filing fee for this action or submit an application to proceed in

1

forma pauperis on the court's form. (Doc. 19.) To date, Plaintiff has not filed objections or otherwise responded to the findings and recommendations. (Court Record.)

On December 20, 2013, Plaintiff filed a motion to stay the proceedings in this action. (Doc. 20.)

II. **MOTION TO STAY**

Plaintiff requests the court to stay the proceedings in this action, and in case 1:12-cv-01040-LJO-MJS at this court, pending the processing of a petition for writ of mandate filed by Plaintiff at the Ninth Circuit court of appeals. Plaintiff submits as evidence a copy of a letter to Plaintiff from the Clerk of the Ninth Circuit, dated November 12, 2013, providing Plaintiff with his case number, 13-73933, and advising him about court rules and procedures for proceeding with a petition for writ of mandamus and/or prohibition. (Exhibit to Motion, Doc. 20 at 3.)

The Court does not lightly stay litigation, due to the possibility of prejudice to defendants. Plaintiff has not shown good cause for the court to stay this action. Plaintiff's evidence shows that his Ninth Circuit petition is associated with the district court's case 1:12cv-01040-LJO-MJS; however, there is no evidence that the petition is associated with the present case. (Exhibit to Motion, Doc. 20 at 3.) Plaintiff offers no evidence or explanation in support of his argument that this case should be stayed. Therefore, the motion to stay this action shall be denied.¹

Plaintiff's only pending deadline in this action is to file objections, if any, to the court's findings and recommendations of November 15, 2013. In light of the fast approach of this deadline, the court finds good cause to grant Plaintiff additional time to file objections.

III. CONCLUSION

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- Plaintiff's motion to stay this action, filed on December 6, 2013, is DENIED; 1. and

///

¹ Plaintiff's motion to stay case 1:12-cv-01040-LJO-MJS shall be resolved in that case.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

1	2. Good cause appearing, Plaintiff is GRANTED an extension of time until thirty		
2		days from the date of servi-	ce of this order, to file objections, if any, to the
3		findings and recommendation	ns issued by the court on November 15, 2013.
4			
5			
6			
7	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
8	Dated:	December 11, 2013	/s/ Gary S. Austin
9			UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
			3
			5