1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 MATTHEW JAMES GRIFFIN, Case No.: 1:13-cv-01599-LJO-BAM (PC) 12 ORDER SETTING SETTLEMENT Plaintiff, **CONFERENCE** 13 v. Date: November 14, 2017 A. JOHNSON, et al., 14 Time: 9:30 a.m. 15 Defendants. 16 Plaintiff Matthew James Griffin is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma 17 pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The court has determined that 18 this case will benefit from a settlement conference. Therefore, this case will be referred to 19 Magistrate Judge Carolyn K. Delaney to conduct a settlement conference at the U. S. District 20 Court, 501 I Street, Sacramento, California 95814 in Courtroom #24 on November 14, 2017 at 21 9:30 a.m. 22 In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 23 24 1. This case is set for a settlement conference before Magistrate Judge Carolyn K. Delaney on November 14, 2017 at 9:30 a.m. in Courtroom #24 at the U. S. District 25 Court, 501 I Street, Sacramento, California 95814. 26 2. Plaintiff is to appear at the settlement conference by video conference from his present 27 place of confinement.

28

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
1	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

28

3. Parties are instructed to have a principal with full settlement authority present at the Settlement Conference or to be fully authorized to settle the matter on any terms. The individual with full authority to settle must also have "unfettered discretion and authority" to change the settlement position of the party, if appropriate. The purpose behind requiring the attendance of a person with full settlement authority is that the parties' view of the case may be altered during the face to face conference. An authorization to settle for a limited dollar amount or sum certain can be found not to comply with the requirement of full authority to settle¹.

- 4. Parties are directed to submit confidential settlement statements no later than November 7, 2017. Plaintiff shall mail his confidential settlement statement Attn: Magistrate Judge Carolyn K. Delaney, USDC CAED, 501 I Street, Suite 4-200, Sacramento, California 95814 so it arrives no later than November 7, 2017. The envelope shall be marked "CONFIDENTIAL SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT." Defendant shall send the statement to ckdorders@caed.uscourts.gov. Parties are also directed to file a "Notice of Submission of Confidential Settlement Statement" (See L.R. 270(d)).
- 5. Settlement statements **should not be filed** with the Clerk of the Court **nor served on any other party**. Settlement statements shall be clearly marked "confidential" with the date and time of the settlement conference indicated prominently thereon.

¹ While the exercise of its authority is subject to abuse of discretion review, "the district court has the authority to order parties, including the federal government, to participate in mandatory settlement conferences...." United States v. United States District Court for the Northern Mariana Islands, 694 F.3d 1051, 1053, 1057, 1059 (9th Cir. 2012)("the district court has broad authority to compel participation in mandatory settlement conference[s]."). The term "full authority to settle" means that the individuals attending the mediation conference must be authorized to fully explore settlement options and to agree at that time to any settlement terms acceptable to the parties. G. Heileman Brewing Co., Inc. v. Joseph Oat Corp., 871 F.2d 648, 653 (7th Cir. 1989), cited with approval in Official Airline Guides, Inc. v. Goss, 6 F.3d 1385, 1396 (9th Cir. 1993). The individual with full authority to settle must also have "unfettered discretion and authority" to change the settlement position of the party, if appropriate. Pitman v. Brinker Int'l., Inc., 216 F.R.D. 481, 485-86 (D. Ariz. 2003), amended on recon. in part, Pitman v. Brinker Int'l., Inc., 2003 WL 23353478 (D. Ariz. 2003). The purpose behind requiring the attendance of a person with full settlement authority is that the parties' view of the case may be altered during the face to face conference. Pitman, 216 F.R.D. at 486. An authorization to settle for a limited dollar amount or sum certain can be found not to comply with the requirement of full authority to settle. Nick v. Morgan's Foods, Inc., 270 F.3d 590, 596-97 (8th Cir. 2001).

1	6. The confidential settlement statement shall be no longer than five pages in length,
2	typed or neatly printed, and include the following:
3	a. A brief statement of the facts of the case.
4	b. A brief statement of the claims and defenses, i.e., statutory or other grounds upon
5	which the claims are founded; a forthright evaluation of the parties' likelihood of
6	prevailing on the claims and defenses; and a description of the major issues in
7	dispute.
8	c. A summary of the proceedings to date.
9	d. An estimate of the cost and time to be expended for further discovery, pretrial, and
10	trial.
11	e. The relief sought.
12	f. The party's position on settlement, including present demands and offers and a
13	history of past settlement discussions, offers, and demands.
14	g. A brief statement of each party's expectations and goals for the settlement
15	conference.
16	IT IS SO ORDERED.
17	II IS SO ORDERED.
18	Dated: October 2, 2017 /s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe
19	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
• •	