1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ANTHONY E. FELDER,	Case No. 1:13-cv-01622-AWI-JLT (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST TO SCHEDULE A SETTLEMENT
13	v.	CONFERENCE WITHOUT PREJUDICE
14	HENSON, et al.,	(Doc. 60)
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint has been served on Defendants who filed their	
18	answer, denying liability. On December 12, 2016, the Discovery and Scheduling Order issued,	
19	providing a deadline for the filing of dispositive motions on or before July 13, 2017. (Doc. 58.)	
20	On January 3, 2017, Plaintiff filed a motion requesting that a settlement conference be set as he	
21	believes the evidence is "overwhelmingly in Plaintiff's favor" and that a settlement conference	
22	would save "time and resources." (Doc. 60.)	
23	However, the Court will not expend its limited resources on a settlement conference this	
24	early in an action unless both sides believe one would be beneficial. Seemingly, the defendants	
25	do not concur in the plaintiff's opinion that there are no defenses to the action. Moreover, it	
26	would seem to beneficial to the plaintiff to conduct some discovery to determine whether the	
27	defenses have merit before deciding that his case cannot be lost. In any event, the plaintiff may	
28	serve Defendants with an offer of settlement	t at any time. Further, if both sides desire scheduling
		1

1	of a settlement conference, they may jointly request one. However, the Court will not schedule a	
2	settlement conference at this early stage absent such indication from all parties.	
3	Accordingly, the Court ORDERS that Plaintiff's request for a settlement conference is	
4	DENIED without prejudice.	
5		
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
7	Dated: January 10, 2017 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
8	UNITED STATES MADISTRATE JUDGE	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	