

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
8

9 FEDERICO HERNANDEZ,

10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

12 M. HERNANDEZ, et al.,

13 Defendants.
14

CASE NO. 1:13-cv-01625-MJS (PC)

**ORDER REQUIRING PLAINTIFF TO
RESPOND TO DEFENDANTS' REQUEST
FOR CLARIFICATION**

JULY 27, 2015 DEADLINE

15
16 Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding in forma pauperis in this civil rights action
17 brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The action proceeds against Defendants
18 Hernandez, Zambrano, Clark, Rodriguez, and Martin on Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment
19 excessive force claim. Trial is set for August 11, 2015. (ECF No. 86.)

20 Before the Court is Defendants' July 21, 2015 request for clarification of the
21 pretrial order. (ECF No. 120.) Specifically, Defendants state that they wish to use as
22 demonstrative evidence photographs of the dining hall where the incident at issue
23 occurred. The photographs Defendants wish to utilize were disclosed to Plaintiff's
24 counsel simultaneously with Defendants' request to the Court. The use of such visual
25 aids was not addressed in the Court's pretrial order.

26 In light of the foregoing, Plaintiff is HEREBY ORDERED to file, by July 27, 2015,
27 objections, if any he has, to Defendants' proposed use of demonstrative evidence. The
28

1 Court will hold and keep Defendants' request under submission pending receipt of
2 such objections, if any.

3
4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5 Dated: July 22, 2015

/s/ Michael J. Seng
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE