1		
2		
3		
<u>4</u>		
5		
6		
7	LINUTED OTAT	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	FEDERICO HERNANEZ,	CASE NO. 1:13-cv-1625-MJS (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO
13	V.	COMPLAINT
14	M. HERNANDEZ, et al.,	(ECF NO. 20)
15 16	Defendants.	
17	Digintiff is a state prince or proceeding are as and in forms accurate in this six	
18	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civi	
19	rights action brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. (ECF No. 1.) This matter proceeds	
20	against Defendants Hernandez, Zambrano, Rodriguez, Clark, and Martin on Plaintiff's	
21	claim of excessive force in violation of the Eighth Amendment to the United States	
22	Constitution. (ECF No. 16.)	
23	Pending before the Court is Defendants' motion to extend the time to respond to	
24	Plaintiff's complaint by 45 days, to and including July 16, 2014. (ECF No. 20.) The	
25	motion is based on defense counsel's declaration that she has requested, but no	
26	received, documents from the Inm	ate Appeals Board and California Victim
27		• •
28		

Compensation and Government Appeals Board, and they are necessary to respond to Plaintiff's Complaint. (ECF No. 20.) Plaintiff has not opposed the motion.

The Court finds good cause for extending the time for Defendants to respond to Plaintiff's complaint. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendants' motion to extend the time to respond to Plaintiff's complaint (ECF No. 20) is GRANTED, and the time for Defendants to file a responsive pleading is extended to and including July 16, 2014.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 2, 2014 Isl Michael J. Seng

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE