4		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	MIGUEL ENRIQUE DIAZ,	No. 1:13-cv-01627-DAD-MJS
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER DIRECTING PLAINTIFF TO PAY FILING FEE WITHIN TWENTY-ONE (21)
14	STU SHERMAN et al.,	DAYS
15	Defendants.	TWENTY-ONE (21) DAY DEADLINE
16		
17		
18	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se in this civil rights action brought pursuant to	
19	42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States magistrate judge pursuant to 28	
20	U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
21	On August 5, 2016, this court adopted the assigned magistrate judge's findings and	
22	recommendations recommending that defendants' motion to revoke plaintiff's in forma pauperis	
23	status pursuant to the three strikes provision of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g) be granted, and further	
24	directed plaintiff to pay the filing fee in full within twenty-one days of the date of the court's	
25	order. (Doc. No. 46.) On August 17, 2016, plaintiff filed an interlocutory appeal of the court's	
26	order. (Doc. Nos. 47–48.) On May 22, 2017, the Ninth Circuit dismissed plaintiff's appeal due	
27	to his failure to prosecute. (Doc. No. 53.)	
28	/////	

Accordingly, plaintiff is directed to pay the required \$400 filing fee in full within twenty-one days of the date of service of this order. Failure to do so will result in the dismissal of his action. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: May 24, 2017