1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATE	CS DISTRICT COURT
8	EASTERN DISTI	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
9		
10	TREVOR WEEKS,) 1: 13-CV-01641-AWI - JLT
11	Plaintiff,) SCHEDULING ORDER (Fed. R. Civ. P. 16)
12	V.) Pleading Amendment Deadline: 4/21/2014
13	UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY,)) Discovery Deadlines:
14	Defendant.) Initial Disclosures: 2/14/2014
15) Non-Expert: 10/31/2014 Expert: 1/12/2015
16		Mid-Discovery Status Conference: 6/2/2014 at 9:00 a.m.
17		
18		Non-Dispositive Motion Deadlines: Filing: 1/26/2015
19		Hearing: 2/23/2015
20		Dispositive Motion Deadlines:
21		Filing: 3/2/2015 Hearing: 4/13/2015
22		Settlement Conference:
23		4/29/2015 at 1:30 p.m. 510 19th Street, Bakersfield, CA
24		
25		Pre-Trial Conference: 6/3/2015 at 10:00 a.m.
26		Courtroom 2
27		Trial: 8/4/2015 at 8:30 a.m.
28		Courtroom 2 Jury trial: 5-8 days
		1
	1	1

1 2

3

4

5

6

I.

Date of Scheduling Conference

January 23 2014.

II. <u>Appearances of Counsel</u>

William Smith appeared on behalf of Plaintiff.

Jill Cartwright appeared on behalf of Defendant.

III. <u>Information Concerning the Court's Schedule</u>

7 Out of fairness, the Court believes it is necessary to forewarn litigants that the Fresno Division 8 of the Eastern District of California now has the heaviest District Court Judge caseload in the entire 9 nation. While the Court will use its best efforts to resolve this case and all other civil cases in a timely 10 manner, the parties are admonished that not all of the parties' needs and expectations may be met as expeditiously as desired. As multiple trials are now being set to begin upon the same date, parties may 11 12 find their case trailing with little notice before the trial begins. The law requires that the Court give any 13 criminal trial priority over civil trials or any other matter. The Court must proceed with a criminal trial 14 even if a civil trial was filed earlier and set for trial first. Continuances of any civil trial under these 15 circumstances will no longer be entertained, absent a specific and stated finding of good cause. All 16 parties should be informed that any civil trial set to begin during the time a criminal trial is proceeding 17 will trail the completion of the criminal trial.

18 The parties are reminded of the availability of a United States Magistrate Judge to conduct all 19 proceedings in this action. A United States Magistrate Judge is available to conduct trials, including entry of final judgment, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 28 U.S.C. 636(c), Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 73, 20 21 and Local Rule 305. The same jury pool is used by both United States Magistrate Judges and United 22 States District Court Judges. Any appeal from a judgment entered by a United States Magistrate Judge 23 is taken directly to the United States Court of Appeal for the Ninth Circuit. However, the parties are 24 hereby informed that no substantive rulings or decisions will be affected by whether a party chooses to 25 consent.

Finally, the Fresno Division of the Eastern District of California, whenever possible, is utilizing
United States Article III District Court Judges from throughout the nation as Visiting Judges. Pursuant
to the Local Rules, Appendix A, reassignments will be random, and the parties will receive no advance

notice before their case is reassigned to an Article III District Court Judge from outside of the Eastern
 District of California.

Therefore, the parties are directed to consider consenting to Magistrate Judge jurisdiction to conduct all further proceedings, including trial. <u>Within 10 days</u> of the date of this order, counsel **SHALL** file a consent/decline form (provided by the Court at the inception of this case) indicating whether they will consent to the jurisdiction of the Magistrate Judge.

7 **IIV.**

V.

Pleading Amendment Deadline

Any requested pleading amendments are ordered to be filed, either through a stipulation or motion to amend, no later than **April 21, 2014**.

10

11

12

3

4

5

6

8

9

Discovery Plan and Cut-Off Date

The parties are ordered to exchange the initial disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) on or before **February 14, 2014**.

13The parties are ordered to complete all discovery pertaining to non-experts on or before14October 31, 2014, and all discovery pertaining to experts on or before January 12, 2015.

The parties are directed to disclose all expert witnesses, in writing, on or before November 14,
 2014¹, and to disclose all rebuttal experts on or before December 15, 2014. The written designation of
 retained and non-retained experts shall <u>be made pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 26(a)(2), (A), (B),</u>
 and (C) and shall include all information required thereunder. Failure to designate experts in
 compliance with this order may result in the Court excluding the testimony or other evidence offered

20 through such experts that are not disclosed pursuant to this order.

The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(4) and (5) shall apply to all discovery relating to experts and their opinions. Experts must be fully prepared to be examined on all subjects and opinions included in the designation. Failure to comply will result in the imposition of sanctions, which may include striking the expert designation and preclusion of expert testimony.

The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(e) regarding a party's duty to timely supplement
disclosures and responses to discovery requests will be strictly enforced.

27

28

¹ Results of any independent medical examination, if a basis for the expert's opinions, SHALL be included in the initial report which means the examination must be completed in sufficient time in advance of the disclosure deadline.

A mid-discovery status conference is scheduled for **June 2**, **2014** at 9:00 a.m. before the Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston, U.S. Magistrate Judge, located at 510 19th Street, Bakersfield, California. A Joint Mid-Discovery Status Conference Report, carefully prepared and executed by all counsel, shall be electronically filed in CM/ECF, one full week prior to the Conference, and shall be emailed, in Word format, to JLTorders@caed.uscourts.gov. Counsel may appear via CourtCall, providing a written request to so appear is made to the Magistrate Judge's Courtroom Clerk no later than five court days before the noticed hearing date.

VI. <u>Pre-Trial Motion Schedule</u>

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

All non-dispositive pre-trial motions, including any discovery motions, shall be filed no later
than January 26, 2015, and heard on or before February 23, 2015. Non-dispositive motions are heard
at 9:00 a.m., before the Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston, United States Magistrate Judge, at the United
States District Courthouse located at 510 19th Street, Bakersfield, California.

No written discovery motions shall be filed without the prior approval of the assigned 13 Magistrate Judge. A party with a discovery dispute must first confer with the opposing party in a good 14 faith effort to resolve by agreement the issues in dispute. If that good faith effort is unsuccessful, the 15 16 moving party promptly shall seek a telephonic hearing with all involved parties and the Magistrate Judge. It shall be the obligation of the moving party to arrange and originate the conference call to the 17 court. To schedule this telephonic hearing, the parties are ordered to contact Courtroom Deputy Clerk, 18 Susan Hall at (661) 326-6620 or via email at SHall@caed.uscourts.gov. Counsel must comply with 19 Local Rule 251 with respect to discovery disputes or the motion will be denied without prejudice 20 21 and dropped from calendar.

In scheduling such motions, the Magistrate Judge may grant applications for an order shortening time pursuant to Local Rule 144(e). However, if counsel does not obtain an order shortening time, the notice of motion *must* comply with Local Rule 251.

Counsel may appear and argue non-dispositive motions via CourtCall, providing a written
request to so appear is made to the Magistrate Judge's Courtroom Clerk no later than five court days
before the noticed hearing date.

28

All dispositive pre-trial motions shall be filed no later than March 2, 2015, and heard no later

than April 13, 2015, in Courtroom 2 at 8:30 a.m. before the Honorable Anthony W. Ishii, United States 2 District Court Judge. In scheduling such motions, counsel shall comply with Fed. R. Civ. P. 56 and 3 Local Rules 230 and 260.

4

5

6

7

11

13

14

15

1

VII. Motions for Summary Judgment or Summary Adjudication

Prior to filing a motion for summary judgment or motion for summary adjudication the parties are ORDERED to meet, in person or by telephone, and confer to discuss the issues to be raised in the motion at least twenty-one days prior to the filing of the motion.

8 The purpose of the meeting shall be to: 1) avoid filing motions for summary judgment where a question of fact exists; 2) determine whether the respondent agrees that the motion has merit in whole 9 10 or in part; 3) discuss whether issues can be resolved without the necessity of briefing; 4) narrow the issues for review by the court; 5) explore the possibility of settlement before the parties incur the expense of briefing a summary judgment motion; 6) to arrive at a joint statement of undisputed facts. 12

The moving party shall initiate the meeting and provide a draft of the joint statement of undisputed facts. In addition to the requirements of Local Rule 260, the moving party shall file a

joint statement of undisputed facts.

16 In the notice of motion the moving party shall certify that the parties have met and conferred as ordered above, or set forth a statement of good cause for the failure to meet and confer.

18

19

17

VIII. <u>Pre-Trial Conference Date</u>

June 3, 2015, at 10:00 a.m. in Courtroom 2 before Judge Ishii.

20 The parties are ordered to file a Joint Pretrial Statement pursuant to Local Rule 281(a)(2). 21 The parties are further directed to submit a digital copy of their pretrial statement in Word format, 22 directly to Judge Ishii's chambers, by email at AWIOrders@caed.uscourts.gov.

Counsels' attention is directed to Rules 281 and 282 of the Local Rules of Practice for the 23 24 Eastern District of California, as to the obligations of counsel in preparing for the pre-trial conference. 25 The Court will insist upon strict compliance with those rules. In addition to the matters set forth in the Local Rules the Joint Pretrial Statement shall include a Joint Statement of the case to be used by the 26 27 Court to explain the nature of the case to the jury during voir dire.

28

///

1 2

3

4

5

8

20

21

22

23

IX. <u>Trial Date</u>

August 4, 2015, at 8:30 a.m. in Courtroom 2 before the Honorable Anthony W. Ishii, United States District Court Judge.

A. This is a jury trial.

B. Counsels' Estimate of Trial Time: 5-8 days.

6 C. Counsel's attention is directed to Local Rules of Practice for the Eastern District of
7 California, Rule 285.

X. <u>Settlement Conference</u>

A Settlement Conference is scheduled for April 29, 2015 at 1:30 p.m., located at 510 19th Street,
Bakersfield, California. The settlement conference will be conducted by Magistrate Judge Thurston. <u>If</u>
any party prefers that the settlement conference be conducted by a judicial officer who is not
normally assigned to this matter, that party is directed to notify the Court no later than 60 days
in advance of the scheduled settlement conference to allow sufficient time for another judicial officer
to be assigned to handle the conference.
Unless otherwise permitted in advance by the Court, <u>the attorneys who will try the case shall</u>

Unless otherwise permitted in advance by the Court, <u>the attorneys who will try the case shall</u> **appear** at the Settlement Conference <u>with the parties</u> and the person or persons having <u>full authority</u>
to negotiate and settle the case <u>on any terms</u>² at the conference. Consideration of settlement is a
serious matter that requires preparation prior to the settlement conference. Set forth below are the
procedures the Court will employ, absent good cause, in conducting the conference.

At least 21 days before the settlement conference, Plaintiff SHALL submit to Defendant via fax or e-mail, a written itemization of damages and a meaningful³ settlement demand which includes a brief explanation of why such a settlement is appropriate. Thereafter, <u>no later than 14 days before</u> the

² Insurance carriers, business organizations, and governmental bodies or agencies whose settlement agreements are subject to approval by legislative bodies, executive committees, boards of directors or the like shall be represented by a person or persons who occupy high executive positions in the party organization and who will be directly involved in the process of approval of any settlement offers or agreements. To the extent possible the representative shall have the authority, if he or she deems it appropriate, to settle the action on terms consistent with the opposing party's most recent demand.

³ "Meaningful" means that the offer is reasonably calculated to settle the case on terms acceptable to the offering party. "Meaningful" does not include an offer which the offering party knows will not be acceptable to the other party. If, however, the offering party is only willing to offer a settlement which it knows the other party will not accept, this should trigger a recognition the case is not in a settlement posture and the parties should confer about continuing or vacating the settlement conference via stipulation.

settlement conference, Defendant **SHALL** respond, via fax or e-mail, with an acceptance of the offer or 2 with a meaningful counteroffer which includes a brief explanation of why such a settlement is 3 appropriate.

If settlement is not achieved, each party SHALL attach copies of their settlement offers to their Confidential Settlement Conference Statement, as described below. Copies of these documents shall not be filed on the court docket.

7

14

15

19

20

21

25

26

28

4

5

6

1

CONFIDENTIAL SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT

At least five court days before the Settlement Conference, the parties shall submit, directly to 8 Judge Thurston's chambers by e-mail to JLTOrders@caed.uscourts.gov, a confidential settlement 9 10 conference statement. The statement should not be filed with the Clerk of the Court nor served on any other party, although the parties may file a Notice of Lodging of Settlement Conference 11 Statement. Each statement shall be clearly marked "confidential" with the date and time of the 12 Settlement Conference indicated prominently thereon. 13

The Confidential Settlement Conference Statement shall include the following:

A. A brief statement of the facts of the case.

- 16 B. A brief statement of the claims and defenses, i.e., statutory or other grounds upon which the claims are founded; a forthright evaluation of the parties' likelihood of prevailing on 17 the claims and defenses; and a description of the major issues in dispute. 18
 - C. A summary of the proceedings to date.
 - D. An estimate of the cost and time to be expended for further discovery, pretrial and trial.

E. The relief sought.

- F. The party's position on settlement, including present demands and offers and a history of 22 23 past settlement discussions, offers and demands.
- 24 XI. Requests for Bifurcation, Appointment of Special Master, or other
 - **Techniques to Shorten Trial**

Not applicable at this time.

- 27 XII. **Related Matters Pending**
 - There are no pending related matters.

XIII. Compliance with Federal Procedure

2 All counsel are expected to familiarize themselves with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 3 and the Local Rules of Practice of the Eastern District of California, and to keep abreast of any amendments thereto. The Court must insist upon compliance with these Rules if it is to efficiently 4 5 handle its increasing case load, and sanctions will be imposed for failure to follow the Rules as provided in both the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of Practice for the Eastern 6 7 District of California.

8

1

XIV. Voluntary Dispute Resolution Program

In their joint statement, the parties indicate they wish to be referred to the VDRP. However, at 9 10 the hearing, it was unclear whether Defendant needed discovery before it would be in a position to meaningfully discuss settlement. Thus, the Court did not refer the matter to VDRP but will do so when 11 the parties alert the Court, via a joint request, they are ready for the referral, assuming they continue to 12 wish to engage in this program. 13

XV. 14 **Effect of this Order**

The foregoing order represents the best estimate of the court and counsel as to the agenda most 15 16 suitable to dispose of this case. The trial date reserved is specifically reserved for this case. If the 17 parties determine at any time that the schedule outlined in this order cannot be met, counsel are ordered to notify the court immediately of that fact so that adjustments may be made, either by stipulation or by 18 19 subsequent status conference.

20 The dates set in this Order are considered to be firm and will not be modified absent a 21 showing of good cause even if the request to modify is made by stipulation. Stipulations extending the deadlines contained herein will not be considered unless they are accompanied by 22 23 affidavits or declarations, and where appropriate attached exhibits, which establish good cause 24 for granting the relief requested.

25 26

///

///

- /// 27
- 28 ///

8

1	Failure to comply with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions.	
2		
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
4	Dated: January 23, 2014 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
5	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	9	