1 2 3 <u>4</u> 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 NATHAN SESSING, Case No. 1:13-cv-01684-LJO-MJS (PC) 10 Plaintiff, 11 ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON OBJECTIONS ٧. 12 JEFFREY BEARD, et al., (ECF No. 46) 13 Defendants. 14 15 16 17 Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil 18 rights action brought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to the 19 undersigned pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302 of the United 20 States District Court for the Eastern District of California. 21 On June 29, 2015, the undersigned issued findings and recommendations for 22 service of Plaintiff's cognizable claims, and to dismiss all other claims and Defendants. 23 (ECF No. 35.) Plaintiff filed objections. (ECF No. 44.) The District Judge assigned to the 24 case adopted the findings and recommendations on November 9, 2015. (ECF No. 45.)

Before the Court is Plaintiff's November 18, 2015 motion for judgment on his

objections. (ECF No. 46.) He asks that the Court respond to his objections.

25

26

27

28

The District Judge considered Plaintiff's objections when ruling on the findings and recommendations. Accordingly, Plaintiff's objections already have been considered by the Court. No further ruling will issue in response to the objections.

Accordingly, Plaintiff's motion for judgment on objections (ECF No. 46) is HEREBY DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 31, 2016

Isl Michael J. Seng
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE