| 1 | | |
|----|--|-----------------------------|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 8 | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | SEQUOIA FORESTKEEPER, CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY and | 1:13-cv-1721 AWI JLT |
| 11 | WESTERN WATERSHED PROJECT, | ORDER FOR CLERK TO ENTER |
| 12 | Plaintiffs, | JUDGMENT AND CLOSE THE CASE |
| 13 | vs. | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | KEVIN ELLIOTT, in his official capacity as Forest Supervisor for the Sequoia National | |
| 16 | Forest of the U.S. Forest Service , and the | |
| 17 | UNITED STATES FOREST SERVICE, | |
| 18 | Defendants. | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | On September 25, 2014, the court issued a memorandum opinion and order granting | |
| 21 | summary judgment to Defendants Kevin Elliott and United States Forest Service against | |
| 22 | Plaintiffs Sequoia Forestkeeper, Center for Biological Diversity and Western Watershed Project. | |
| 23 | Doc. No. 37. On October 23, 2014, Plaintiffs filed a motion for reconsideration of the court's | |
| 24 | September 25 Order. On April 2, 2015, the Court denied reconsideration. Doc. No. 43. The | |
| 25 | summary judgment motion resolved the claims in this matter in favor of Defendants. With the | |
| 26 | denial of reconsideration, there are no further outstanding issues. Thus, the Court will order the | |
| 27 | Clerk to close this case and enter judgment. | |
| 28 | | |

| 1 2 3 4 | Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, in light of the Court's summary judgment order and order denying reconsideration, the Clerk shall CLOSE this case and enter judgment in favor of Defendants. | |
|-----------------------|--|--|
| 5 6 7 8 9 | IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: April 3, 2015 SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |
| | -2- | |