1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8		CATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	should not issue for the failure to file dis order. On May 21, 2015, the parties join pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Proced) Case No. 1:13-cv-1737 SAB) ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO) DISMISS AND DISCHARGING ORDER) TO SHOW CAUSE) (ECF Nos. 32, 33))) ded an order for the parties to show cause why sanctions spositional documents in compliance with the Court's ntly moved for dismissal of this action with prejudice hure 41(a). on and for good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY
20 21 22 23	32);	issued on May 20, 2015 is DISCHARGED (ECF No. nissal filed May 21, 2015, is GRANTED (ECF No. 33);
	1	

1	3. This action is DISMISSED with prejudice; and	
2	4. The parties shall bear their respective costs, including any possible attorneys' fees	
3	or other expenses of litigation;	
4		
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
6	Dated: May 21, 2015 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
7	UNITED STATES MADISTRATE JUDGE	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18 19		
20		
20		
21		
22		
	2	