

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BRYAN E. RANSOM,) 1:13cv01779 AWI DLB PC
)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.) ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
) RECOMMENDATIONS AND DENYING
) PLAINTIFF’S MOTION FOR TEMPORARY
) RESTRAINING ORDER
)
 C. McCABE, et al.,)
) (Document 13, 14)
)
 Defendants.)

Plaintiff Bryan E. Ransom (“Plaintiff”) is a prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action.¹ Plaintiff filed this action on November 5, 2013.

On July 24, 2014, Plaintiff filed a motion for a temporary restraining order. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On September 10, 2014, the Court issued [Findings and Recommendations](#) that the motion be denied. Plaintiff did not file objections.

¹ Pursuant to Court order dated June 9, 2010, Plaintiff was deemed to be a prisoner with three strikes or more and therefore unable to proceed in forma pauperis. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). However, on December 19, 2013, the Court determined that Plaintiff met the imminent danger exception for purposes of 1915(g).

1 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court has conducted
2 a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds that the
3 Findings and Recommendations are supported by the record and by proper analysis.

4 Even though some Defendants have now been served, they had not appeared in the action
5 at the time of Plaintiff's motion.

6 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 7
- 8 1. The Findings and Recommendations, filed September 10, 2014 are ADOPTED in
9 full; and
 - 10 2. Plaintiff's motion for a temporary restraining order and preliminary injunction
11 (Document 14) is DENIED.

12 IT IS SO ORDERED.

13 Dated: February 12, 2015

14 
15 _____
16 SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE