1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	BRYAN E. RANSOM,	No. 1:13-cv-01779-DAD-GSA
11	Plaintiff,	
12	v.	ORDER DISMISSING CASE
13	C. MCCABE, et al.,	(Doc. No. 97)
14	Defendants.	
15		
16	On March 9, 2018, this court issued an order which noted, in part, that it appeared plaintiff	
17	may have abandoned the prosecution of this action. (See Doc. No. 97 at 4–5.) The court ordered	
18	plaintiff to file a written notice of his intent to proceed with this case within twenty-eight (28)	
19	days of service of the court's prior order. (Id.) No such notice has been filed by plaintiff, and the	
20	time in which to file this notice has passed. Therefore, the court will dismiss this case in its	
21	entirety, due to plaintiff's failure to prosecute and failure to obey a court order. Fed. R. Civ. P.	
22	41(b); Chambers v. NASCO, Inc., 501 U.S. 32, 44 (1991) (noting courts "may act sua sponte to	
23	dismiss a suit for failure to prosecute"); In re Phenylpropanolamine Prods. Liab. Litig., 460 F.3d	
24	1217, 1227 (9th Cir. 2006) ("Rule 41(b) permits dismissal for failure of the plaintiff to prosecute	
25	or to comply with any order of court.").	
26	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
27 28	Dated: May 2, 2018	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE