1 2 3 4 5 6		
 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 		ES DISTRICT COURT RICT OF CALIFORNIA CASE NO. 1:13-cv-01808-MJS (PC) ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S MOTION OR ORDER REVOKING IN FORMA PAUPERIS STATUS, GRANTING DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME, AND APPROVING SUBSTITUTION OF ATTORNEY (ECF Nos. 48, 53, 55) FOURTEEN (14) DAY DEADLINE
 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. (ECF Nos. 1 & 5.) The matter proceeds against Defendant Ramirez on an Eighth Amendment excessive force claim. (ECF No. 33.) The docket reflects that a copy of the summons and operative pleading were mailed to Defendant on August 22, 2015, and Defendant mailed a waiver of service on October 8, 2015. (ECF No. 41.) On November 19, 2015, Defendant filed a motion for an order revoking Plaintiff's in forma pauperis status on the ground that Plaintiff is a "three-striker" pursuant to 28	

1			
2	U.S.C. § 1915(g). (ECF No. 48.) Defendant also sought, and was granted, an extension		
2	of time to file a responsive pleading pending the Court's ruling on his motion. In his		
4	objections to that motion, Plaintiff correctly argued that his in forma pauperis status		
	subject to revocation because the strikes referenced by Defendant were incurred after		
5	he filed the instant action. On this ground, Defendant now moves to withdraw his motion		
6	to revoke and seeks an extension of time to file a responsive pleading. (ECF No. 53.)		
7	Also before the undersigned is a proposed substitution of attorney for Defendant. (EC		
8	NO. 55.)		
9	Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:		
10	1. Defendant's motion to withdraw his motion (ECF No. 53) is GRANTED;		
11	2. Defendant's motion for an order revoking Plaintiff's in forma pauperis status		
12	(ECF No. 48) is DENIED as moot;		
13	3. Defendant's request for an extension of time to file a responsive pleading is		
14	GRANTED;		
15	4. The substitution of attorney for Defendant (ECF No. 55) is APPROVED; and		
16	5. Defendant shall file a responsive pleading within fourteen (14) days from the		
17	date of this Order.		
18			
19	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
20	Dated: <u>February 9, 2016</u> Isl Michael J. Seng		
21	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	2		
	Δ		