1	Charles A. Piccuta (56010)			
2	Charles Tony Piccuta (258333) PICCUTA LAW GROUP, LLP			
3	400 West Franklin Street			
5	Monterey, California 93940 Telephone: (83 l) 920-3111			
4	Facsimile: (831) 920-3112 e-mail: chuck@Piccutalaw.com; charles@piccutalaw.com			
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff, George Michael Macias, Jr.			
6	Panos Lagos (61821)			
7	The Law Offices of Panos Lagos			
8	5032 Woodminster Lane Oakland, CA 94602			
9	Telephone: (510) 530-4078 Facsimile: (510) 530-4725			
-	Email: panoslagos@aol.com			
10 11	Attorneys for Plaintiff, George Michael Macias, Jr.			
11	James D. Weakley, Esq. Bar No. 082853 Brande L. Gustafson, Esq. Bar No. 267130			
	Weakley & Arendt, LLP			
13	1630 East Shaw Ave., Suite 176 Fresno, California 93710			
14	Telephone: (559) 221-5256 Facsimile: (559) 221-5262			
15	Email: Jim@walaw-fresno.com Email: Brande@walaw-fresno.com			
16				
17	Attorneys for Defendants, City of Clovis, Officer Cesar Gonzalez, Officer Eric Taifane, Officer Angel Velasquez, and Officer Steve Cleaver			
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
19	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
20				
21	GEORGE MICHAEL MACIAS, JR.,) CASE NO. 1:13-CV-001819-BAM		
22	Plaintiffs,) JOINT STIPULATION AND ORDER TO SEAL DOCUMENT No. 99		
23	vs.)		
24	STEVE CLEAVER, CESAR GONZALEZ,)		
25	ERIC TAIFANE, ANGEL VELASQUEZ, THE CITY OF CLOVIS and DOES 1-10,) The Honorable Barbara A. McAuliffe		
26	inclusive, inclusive,)		
27	Defendants.))		
28	NOW COME the parties, through their respective counsel, and hereby stipulate and			
	request to seal document # 99. Document # 99 was filed as the Second Amended Complaint			
		-		

1	with redactions as ordered by the Court on March 24, 2016. However, counsel for Defendants
2	have notified counsel for Plaintiff that paragraphs 43-50 in the document were not redacted, but
3	highlighted in black, which made the confidential information still accessible by performing
4	certain computing processes. Plaintiff was unaware of this possibility upon filing.
5	The redacted information in the Second Amended Complaint includes paragraphs 43-50

and is currently subject to a protective order. As such, the Document should be sealed so that
this information is not accessible by the public. Plaintiff will then refile the Second Amended
Complaint with the same paragraphs redacted but by a means where the text under the
redactions may not be accessed.

Good cause exists to grant the streamlined request to seal the document as the basis for
the same is to comply with the Court's previous order (Doc. No. 97) and remedy a redaction
error. Moreover, this request should be granted on an expedited basis to prevent the
dissemination of information that is currently subject to a protective order and to prevent any
potential prejudice to the parties. WHEREFORE the parties request that Document # 99 be
sealed accordingly and an instruction given to the Clerk to accomplish the same.

¹⁶ **IT IS SO STIPULATED.**

1/	Dated: March 29, 2016		WEAKLEY & ARENDT, LLP
18 19 20		By:	/s/ Brande L. Gustafson (As Authorized 3/29/16) James D. Weakley Brande L. Gustafson Attorneys for Defendants
21			
22	Dated: March 29, 2016		PICCUTA LAW GROUP, LLP
• •			THE LAW OFFICES OF PANOS LAGOS
23		By:	/s/Charles Tony Piccuta
24		Dy.	Charles A. Piccuta
25			Charles Tony Piccuta Panos Lagos
26			Attorneys for Plaintiff
27			
28			

1	ORDER			
2				
3	IT IS SO ORDERED THAT Document #99 shall be sealed by the Clerk of the Court.			
4	Plaintiff shall file a redacted version of the document within 5 days of the date of this order.			
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.			
6				
7	Dated: March 30, 2016 /s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE			
8	UNITED STATES MADISTRATE JUDGE			
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19 20				
20 21				
21				
22				
23				
25				
26				
27				
28				