

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

9 JEREMY PINSON,
10 Plaintiff,
11 v.
12 FEDERAL BUREAU OF PRISONS,
13 Defendant.
14

Case No. 1:13-cv-01821-AWI-MJS (PC)
**ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO STAY
DISCOVERY**
(ECF No. 63)

15 Plaintiff is a federal prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this
16 Privacy Act and civil rights action. (ECF Nos. 8 & 13.) The action proceeds on Plaintiff's
17 Privacy Act claim against the Federal Bureau of Prisons (BOP) and his First and Eighth
18 Amendment claims against Defendant Valero. (ECF Nos. 15 & 40.)

19 On April 17, 2015, Defendants filed a motion for summary judgment asserting
20 that Plaintiff failed to exhaust his administrative remedies and that Defendant Valero
21 was entitled to qualified immunity. (ECF No. 58.)

22 Before the Court is Defendants' June 5, 2015 motion to stay discovery pending
23 resolution of the motion for summary judgment. (ECF No. 63.) Plaintiff filed a statement
24 of no opposition. (ECF No. 64.) The Court finds a protective order staying discovery is
25 appropriate in these circumstances. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c)(1); Albino v. Baca, 747
26 F.3d 1162, 1170 (9th Cir. 2014).

27 Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that Defendants' motion (ECF No. 20) is
28

1 GRANTED. Discovery in this matter is stayed pending resolution of Defendants' motion
2 for summary judgment.

3
4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5 Dated: June 17, 2015

/s/ Michael J. Leng
6 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28