1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STAT	TES DISTRICT COURT
8 9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9 10		
10	YOUNG YIL JO,) Case No.: 1:13-cv-01844-LJO-SAB (PC)
12	Plaintiff,))
13	V.	 FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION REGARDING COMPLAINT FILED PURSUANT
14	SIX UNKNOWN AGENTS, et al.,) TO 42 U.S.C. § 1983
15	Defendants.)
16)
17	Plaintiff Young Yil Jo is appearing pro se in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §	
18	1983, filed on November 13, 2013. Plaintiff is currently in the custody of the Etowah County Jail in	
19	Gadsden, Alabama.	
20	I.	
21	DISCUSSION	
22	To date, Plaintiff Young Yil Jo has filed over one-hundred fifty civil cases in this district. The	
23	complaint filed in this action is not signed and it sets forth no intelligible claims for relief, and fails to	
24	state any cognizable claims under federal law. <u>Ashcroft v. Iqbal</u> , 556 U.S. 662, 677-668 (2009); <u>Bell</u>	
25	Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 544, 555 (2007). Given this litigant's abusive filing practices in	
26	this district, and the utterly incoherent pleading before the Court, leave to amend is not warranted.	
27	Lopez v. Smith, 203 F.3d 1122, 1130 (9th Cir. 2000). Federal courts, as all courts, are for the serious	
28		
	1	

presentation of cases in which litigants wish to have their matters heard. Plaintiff is not one who takes this claim with any serious indication that he intends to pursue a righteous claim.

As for dismissing this case with prejudice, this Plaintiff has filed over one hundred and fifty civil cases in this district and in a variety of names involving the similar claims and similar lack of any facts which comport with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Plaintiff has been admonished by numerous judges of this court and yet still heeds no warning. This Court has previously warned Plaintiff that the filing of frivolous claims with no basis in law or fact may result in monetary sanctions. (1:13-cv-00750-AWI-SAB, ECF No. 2.) The Court will issue a separate sanctioning order in addition to dismissing Plaintiff's claim with prejudice.

II.

RECOMMENDATION

IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE.

This Findings and Recommendation is submitted to the assigned United States District Court Judge, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(B) and Rule 304 of the Local Rules of Practice for the United States District Court, Eastern District of California. Within **fourteen (14)** days after being served with a copy, Plaintiff may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. <u>Martinez v. Ylst</u>, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 14, 2013

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE