

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

YOUNG YIL JO,)	Case No.: 1:13-cv-01846-LJO-SAB (PC)
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION
)	REGARDING COMPLAINT FILED PURSUANT
SIX UNKNOWN AGENTS, et al.,)	TO 42 U.S.C. § 1983
Defendants.)	
)	
)	
)	
)	

Plaintiff Young Yil Jo is appearing pro se in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff is currently in the custody of the Etowah County Jail in Gadsden, Alabama.

**I.
DISCUSSION**

To date, Plaintiff Young Yil Jo has filed over one-hundred fifty civil cases in this district. The complaint filed in this action is not signed and it sets forth no intelligible claims for relief, and fails to state any cognizable claims under federal law. Ashcroft v. Iqbal, 556 U.S. 662, 677-668 (2009); Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 544, 555 (2007). Given this litigant’s abusive filing practices in this district, and the utterly incoherent pleading before the Court, leave to amend is not warranted. Lopez v. Smith, 203 F.3d 1122, 1130 (9th Cir. 2000). Federal courts, as all courts, are for the serious presentation of cases in which litigants wish to have their matters heard. Plaintiff is not one who takes this claim with any serious indication that he intends to pursue a righteous claim.

1 As for dismissing this case with prejudice, this Plaintiff has filed over one hundred and fifty
2 civil cases in this district and in a variety of names involving the similar claims and similar lack of any
3 facts which comport with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Plaintiff has been admonished by
4 numerous judges of this court and yet still heeds no warning. This Court has previously warned
5 Plaintiff that the filing of frivolous claims with no basis in law or fact may result in monetary
6 sanctions. (1:13-cv-00750-AWI-SAB, ECF No. 2.) The Court will issue a separate sanctioning order
7 in addition to dismissing Plaintiff's claim with prejudice.

8 **II.**
9 **RECOMMENDATION**

10 Accordingly,

11 IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE.

12 This Findings and Recommendation is submitted to the assigned United States District Court
13 Judge, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(B) and Rule 304 of the Local Rules of
14 Practice for the United States District Court, Eastern District of California. Within **fourteen (14)** days
15 after being served with a copy, Plaintiff may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on
16 all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and
17 Recommendation." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may
18 waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

19
20 IT IS SO ORDERED.

21
22 Dated: November 15, 2013

23 
24
25
26
27
28 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE