1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9		
10	RODNEY ZAYAS,	1:13-cv-01863-LJO-JLT (HC)
11	Petitioner,	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL
12	V.	(Doc.37)
13	KAMALA D. HARRIS,	
14	Respondent.	
15		I
16	Petitioner has requested the appointment of counsel, citing the purported complexity of	
17	the case and his lack of legal training as grounds therefore. There currently exists no absolute	
18	right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. See, e.g., Anderson v. Heinze, 258 F.2d	
19	479, 481 (9th Cir. 1958); Mitchell v. Wyrick, 727 F.2d 773, 774 (8th Cir. 1984). However, Title	
20	18 U.S.C. § 3006A(a)(2)(B) authorizes the appointment of counsel at any stage of the case if "the	
21	interests of justice so require." See Rule 8(c), Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. In the	
22	present case, the Court does not find that the interests of justice require the appointment of	
23	counsel at the present time. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Petitioner's request for	
24	appointment of counsel is DENIED.	
25	IT IC CO ODDEDED	
26	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
27	Dated: <b>April 26, 2015</b>	/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
28		CITIED SITTES MACISTRATE JUDGE