1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 LUIS ALBERTO VALENCIA. Case No. 1:13-CV-01864-LJO-SMS HC 10 Petitioner. FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 11 v. RECOMMENDING THAT THE COURT DISMISS CASE WITHOUT PREJUDICE 12 CONNIE GIPSON. FOR FAILURE TO PROSECUTE 13 Respondent. 14 15 16 On November 18, 2013, Petitioner Luis Alberto Valencia filed a petition for writ of habeas 17 corpus. On August 20, 2014, the Magistrate Judge issued findings and recommendations 18 recommending that the Court dismiss count two but allow the petition to proceed on the remaining 19 counts. The Clerk of Court served Petitioner with a copy of the court order by mail. On September 20 4, 2014, the copy mailed to Petitioner was returned to the Clerk marked "undeliverable, name and ID 21 do not match." 22 23 Local Rule 183 provides: 24 A party appearing in propria persona shall keep the Court and opposing parties advised as to his or her current address. If mail directed to a plaintiff in propria 25 persona by the Clerk is returned by the U.S. Postal Service, and if such Plaintiff fails to notify the Court and opposing parties within sixty-three (63) days 26 thereafter of a current address, the Court may dismiss the action without prejudice 27 for failure to prosecute.

28

///

More than sixty-three (63) days having elapsed since the return of the notice mailed to Plaintiff, and Plaintiff's having failed to advise the Court of his current address, it is hereby RECOMMENDED that this case be dismissed without prejudice for failure to prosecute.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the Honorable Lawrence J. O'Neill, United States District Court Judge, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Rule 72-304 of the Local Rules of Practice for the United States District Court, Eastern District of California. Within fifteen (15) days after being served with a copy, Plaintiff may file written objections with the court, serving a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." The Court will then review the Magistrate Judge's ruling pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). Plaintiff advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: **November 17, 2014** /s/ Sandra M. Snyder UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE