1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	LINITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	LASTERIV DISTRI	ier of each orivin
10	BRENT ADLER,	Case No. 1:13-cv-01867-SKO PC
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS AND DIRECTING THAT ACTION PROCEED PURSUANT TO LOCAL 230(L)
12	v.	
13	FERNANDO GONZALEZ, et al.,	
14	Defendants.	(Doc. 2)
15		
16	Plaintiff Brent Adler, who is proceeding pro se, filed this civil rights action pursuant to 42	
17	U.S.C. § 1983 on November 18, 2013. Plaintiff seeks leave to proceed in forma pauperis. 28	
18	U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1). Plaintiff has made the requisite showing and his application is HEREBY	
19	GRANTED. Id.	
20	Plaintiff is proceeding pro se and this action involves past conditions of confinement at	
21	California Correctional Institution in Tehachapi. Although Plaintiff is no longer incarcerated,	
22	Local Rule 230(l) shall apply to this case. Hunt v. County of Orange, 672 F.3d 606, 616 (9th Cir.	
23	2012) (district courts have broad discretion to manage course of litigation); U.S. v. Batiste, 868	
24		
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
26	Dated: November 25, 2013	/s/ Sheila K. Oberto UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
27		
28		
	d .	