1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 BRENT ADLER, Case No. 1:13-cv-01867-DAD-SKO PC 11 Plaintiff, ORDER DENYING DEFENDANTS' REQUEST FOR RELIEF FROM LOCAL **RULE 230(1)** 12 v. 13 FERNANDO GONZALEZ, et al., (Doc. 30)14 Defendants. 15 Plaintiff Brent Adler, who is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, filed this civil rights 16 action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 on November 18, 2013. This action involves past conditions 17 of confinement at California Correctional Institution in Tehachapi. Although Plaintiff was not 18 incarcerated when he filed this action, the Court directed that Local Rule 230(1) would apply to 19 this case. (Doc. 3.) 20 On January 21, 2016, Defendants filed a request for relief from Local Rule 230(1) citing 21 merely that Plaintiff is not incarcerated. (Doc. 30.) Defendants cite neither legal authority nor any 22 justification for being relieved from Local Rule 230(1), and the Court finds none. As such, Local 23 Rule 230(1) shall continue to apply to this case. Hunt v. County of Orange, 672 F.3d 606, 616 (9th 24 Cir. 2012) (district courts have broad discretion to manage course of litigation); U.S. v. Batiste, 25 868 F.2d 1089, 1091 n.4 (9th Cir. 1989) (district courts have broad discretion to manage 26 calendars). 27 28

1	Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED, that Defendants' request for relief from Local
2	Rule 230(1), filed on January 21, 2016, is denied.
3	
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.
5	Dated: January 25, 2016 /s/ Sheila K. Oberto UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6	UNITED STATES MADISTRATE JUDGE
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18 19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	