

1 Clarification" in which he states that he received the October 8th Order, but that he "never filed
2 said motion," believed the motion was filed by the Attorney General's Office, that he filed an
3 objection to the Attorney General's motion, and now seeks clarification. (Doc. 17.) To the extent
4 this order is able to do so, Plaintiff's motion requesting clarification is granted.

5 It appears that Plaintiff has confused the separateness/exclusivity of his multiple actions in
6 this Court.

7 The October 8th Order issued in response to the document that Plaintiff filed in this action
8 which was entitled "Notice Advising Court Defendants filed Motion of Removal, Request for
9 Screening Order, and Request for Extension of Time to Respond Under Different Case Number"
10 (hereinafter "Plaintiff's Motion for Notice"). (Doc. 10.) In Plaintiff's Motion for Notice, which
11 was only two sentences long, it appeared that Plaintiff was requesting the Court in this action: (1)
12 to take judicial notice in this action of the notice of removal that the defendants filed in Plaintiff's
13 Other Action; (2) to issue a screening order in Plaintiff's Other Action; and (3) to issue an
14 extension of time for Plaintiff to respond in Plaintiff's Other Action. These are the three issues
15 that were addressed in the October 8th Order.

16 It appears from Plaintiff's Motion Requesting Clarification, that when he filed Plaintiff's
17 Motion for Notice, Plaintiff was merely attempting to bring the circumstances in Plaintiff's Other
18 Action to the attention of the Court in this action and did not actually desire this Court to take
19 judicial notice of anything in his Other Action, nor did he desire an order from this Court in this
20 action in any way. If this was Plaintiff's desire, he was remiss in filing it as documents of any sort
21 (including those filed in other actions) should only be filed in an action when necessary, such as
22 "in connection with a motion for summary judgment, trial or the Court requests otherwise." (*See*
23 *Doc. 3, First Info O, 3:8-13.*) There are only an extremely limited number of circumstances
24 where filings in two separate actions are relevant to each other -- none of which apply here.²
25 Plaintiff is urged to refrain from filing documents in this action other than motions seeking Court
26 orders, or in opposition/response thereto, or as directed to do so by the Court.

27 ² Plaintiff's actions have neither been consolidated, nor found to be related; rather, they were
28 found to be duplicative such that Plaintiff's Other Action has been dismissed.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Plaintiff has filed his First Amended Complaint (*see* Doc. 16) which is in line for screening. Plaintiff need do nothing else until the First Amended Complaint has been screened and an order thereon has issued.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, to the extent accomplished by this order, Plaintiff's Motion Requesting Clarification, filed October 22, 2014 (Doc. 17), is GRANTED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 30, 2014

/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE