1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
9				
10	IVAN DARIO PEREZ,Case No. 1:13-cv-01912-LJO-SKO PC			
11	Plaintiff, ORDER DISMISSING ACTION			
12	v. (Doc. 2)			
13	SIX UNKNOWN NAMES AGENTS, et al.,			
14	Defendants.			
15				
16	/			
17				
18				
19				
20	On November 25, 2013, the Court issued an order striking Plaintiff's unsigned complaint and			
21	requiring Plaintiff to file a signed complaint and either pay the \$400.00 filing fee or file an			
22	application to proceed in forma pauperis, within thirty days. More than thirty days have passed			
23	and Plaintiff has not complied with or otherwise responded to the Court's order. Plaintiff was			
24	warned that dismissal would occur if he failed to obey the order.			
25	A civil action may not proceed absent the submission of a signed complaint and either the			
26	filing fee or a completed application to proceed in forma pauperis. 28 U.S.C. §§ 1914, 1915; Fed.			
27	R. Civ. P. 3, 11(a). Based on Plaintiff's failure to comply with the Court's order, dismissal of this			
28				

1	action is appropriate. In re Phenylpropanolamine (PPA) Products Liability Litigation, 460 F.3d				
2	1217, 1226 (9th Cir. 2006); Local Rule 110.				
3	Accordingly, the Court HEREBY ORDERS this action dismissed for failure to prosecute.				
4					
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.				
6	Dated: Ja	<u>nuary 10, 2014</u>	/s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill		
7			UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24 25					
25 26					
20 27					
27					
20					