1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED S	STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	EASTERN	DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10		
11	CURTIS BOYD,	) Case No. 1:13-01966-LJO-SAB (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR
13	v.	APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL AND REQUEST TO MODIFY THE SCHEDULING ORDER AS
14	C. ETCHEBEHERE, et.al.	) MOOT
15	Defendants.	) [ECF Nos. 118, 119]
16		
17	On August 9, 2017, the Court granted Defendants' motion for summary judgment and	
18	judgment was entered in favor of Defendants, in this civil rights action filed by Plaintiff pursuant to 42	
19	U.S.C. § 1983.	
20	On August 28, 2017, Plaintiff filed a notice of appeal with the United States Court of Appeals	
21	for the Ninth Circuit.	
22	On July 25, 2018, the Ninth Circuit affirmed this Court's August 9, 2017, decision. On	
23	September 5, 2018, Plaintiff's petition for rehearing was denied., and the mandate was issued on	
24	September 13, 2018.	
25	On November 5, 2018, Plaintiff filed a motion for the appointment of counsel and request to	
26	modify the scheduling order.	
27	///	
28	///	
ļ	I .	

As judgment was entered in this case on August 9, 2017, which was affirmed on appeal, this case is now final and no filings can be entertained. Accordingly, Plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel and request to modify the scheduling order are denied as moot. Plaintiff is advised that no further requests should be filed in this action, and the Court will strike any filings without response.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 8, 2018

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE