Forte v. Hughes et al Doc. 118

1			
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
3			
4			
5	EUGENE FORTE,	1:13-cv-1980-LJO-MJS	
6	Plaintiff,	REQUEST FOR U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE TO PROSECUTE CRIMINAL CONTEMPT	
7	v.	TO PROSECUTE CRIMINAL CONTEMPT	
8	TIMOTHY SCHWARTZ,		
9	Defendant.		
10			
11		_	
12	The Court has ordered Plaintiff Eugene Forte to show cause why he should not be held in		
13	criminal contempt for language contained in his filings and for violating a Court order. Pursuant to		
14	Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 42(a)(2), the Court requests that the U.S. Attorney's Office for the		
15	Eastern District of California prosecute the contempt. The Court has set a status conference on February		
16	17, 2017, at 11:00A.M., to schedule a substantive hearing on the matter in the future. The Court requests		
17	that the U.S. Attorney's Office inform the Court by January 27, 2017, whether it will prosecute this case		
18	and, if so, confirm attendance at the February 17, 2017 status conference.		
19	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
20	Dated: <b>January 20, 2017</b>	Dale A. Dryd	
21		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
22			
23			
24			
25			
		1	