1 2	Kathleen C. Jeffries (State Bar #110362 SCOPELITIS, GARVIN, LIGHT, HANSOI 2 North Lake Avenue, Suite 460	2) N & FEARY, LLP	
3	Pasadena, California 91101		
4	Telephone: (626) 795-4700 Facsimile: (626) 795-4790 kjeffries@scopelitis.com		
5	Attorneys for Defendants		
6	YRC INČ. and ROADWAY REVERSE LO	OGISTICS, INC.	
7			
8	UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	STARR INDEMNITY & LIABILITY	Case No. 1:13-CV-01996-AWI-GSA	
11	COMPANY, a corporation,	STIPULATION RE FURTHER	
12	Plaintiff,	EXTENSION OF DISCOVERY DEADLINES AND CORRESPONDING	
13	v.	EXTENSION OF MOTION-FILING DEADLINE; AND ORDER THEREON	
14	YRC INC., a corporation; ROADWAY REVERSE LOGISTICS, INC., a	Trial Date: March 24, 2015	
15	corporation; and DOES ONE through STIFTEEN,		
16	Defendants.		
17			
18	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATE	D by and between the parties to this	
19	action, plaintiff Starr Indemnity & Liab	ility Company ("Starr Indemnity") and	
20	defendants YRC Inc. ("YRC") and Roads	way Reverse Logistics, Inc. (collectively	
21	"defendants"), through their respective	counsel of record, that the discovery	
22	deadlines in this case be extended an a	additional month to enable the parties	
23	to complete discovery, the progress of v	which has been hampered to date by a	
24	failure of cooperation of an essential th	ird party, as more fully described	
25	below; and that the motion-filing deadl	ine be correspondingly extended.	
26			
27	1		
28	STIPULATION RE EXTENSION OF DISC	OVERY AND MOTION-FILING DEADLINES;	

AND ORDER THEREON

Specifically, the parties respectfully request that the non-expert discovery cut-off and expert disclosure date be continued from September 8, 2014 to October 10, 2014; that the rebuttal expert disclosure deadline be continued from September 22, 2014 to October 24, 2014; that the expert discovery cut-off date be continued from October 20, 2014 to November 21, 2014; and that, based upon such continuances, the date for the filing of nondispositive and dispositive motions be continued from October 24, 2014 to November 24, 2014, with the pretrial conference and trial dates and all other orders contained in the Court's scheduling order issued on February 14, 2014 10 remaining in full force and effect.

Good cause exists for this joint request. This case involves a request for reimbursement by plaintiff Starr Indemnity of sums paid to its insured for the alleged damage to two pieces of machinery transported by defendant YRC from Clovis, California to Chandler, Arizona in October 2012. Having coordinated the plans with plaintiff, defendants served deposition subpoenas upon three witnesses from the company from whom the machinery was received to address factual questions central to defendants' defense position. However, none of the witnesses appeared for the scheduled depositions or notified counsel that no witnesses would appear. Steps are now being taken to reschedule such depositions and/or to gather the necessary information informally. Plaintiff's counsel has agreed to hold its noticed Rule 30(b)(6) deposition of defendant YRC in abeyance until the completion of such /// /// /// ///

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

1	non-party discovery process, provided that the requested extensions are	
2	granted. The parties seek additional time to complete both steps, with the aim	
3	of resolving the case through the use of the information gathered in such	
4	process.	
5		
6	Dated: August 22, 2014 SCOPELITIS, GARVIN, LIGHT, HANSON	
7	& FEARY, LLP	
8		
9	By: <u>/s/ Kathleen C. Jeffries</u> Kathleen C. Jeffries	
10	Attorneys for Defendants YRC INC. and ROADWAY REVERSE	
11	LOGISTICS, INC.	
12	Dated: August 21, 2014 GIBSON ROBB & LINDH, LLP	
13		
14	By: /s/ Konstantin Savransky (as <u>authorized on August 21, 2014)</u>	
15	Konstantin Savransky Attorneys for Plaintiff	
16	STARR INDEMNITY & LIABILITY COMPANY	
17		
18	ORDER	
19	The Court has reviewed the joint request and adopts the parties'	
20	stipulation in part. The Court has made slight modifications to the deadlines	
21	because the dates proposed by the parties affect the pretrial and the trial	
22	dates. ¹ Accordingly, the scheduling order issued on February 6, 2014 (Doc.	
23	10) is modified as follows:	
24	The non-expert discovery cut-off is October 10, 2014;	
25		
26	The Court requires certain spacing between the filing of motions so that there is ample time for rulings to be made.	
27	3	

1	The expert disclosure deadline is October 10, 2014;
2	The rebuttal expert is October 24, 2014;
3	The expert discovery cut-off date is November 21, 2014;
4	The deadline for filing non-dispositive motions is November 24, 2014;
5	The deadline for filing dispositive motions is January 2, 2015.
6	The pretrial conference and the trial dates are VACATED and will be reser
7	after a ruling on the dispositive motions.
8	All other orders contained in the Court's scheduling order issued on
9	February 14, 2014 (Doc. 10) remain in full force and effect. A review of this
10	case indicates that it may be ripe for settlement once the discovery is
11	completed. The parties are strongly encouraged to notify the Court if
12	settlement is a viable option.
13	Dated: August 28, 2014 /s/ Gary S. Austin
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	4