1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ADAM R. LOPEZ,	Case No. 1:13-cv-02010-AWI-JLT (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION RE PLEADING
13	v.	PRACTICE
14	ALLISON, et al.,	(Doc. 38)
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	On January 22, 2016, Plaintiff filed a motion requesting clarification as to what, if	
18	anything, he is required or allowed to file in response to the reply Defendants' filed on January 5,	
19	2016, to Plaintiff's opposition, to Defendants' motion to dismiss. (Doc. 38.)	
20	Local Rule 230(l) applies to motions in prisoner actions and provides as follows:	
21	All motions, except motions to dismiss for lack of prosecution, filed in actions wherein one party is incarcerated and proceeding <i>in propria</i>	
22	persona, shall be submitted upon the record without oral argument unless otherwise ordered by the Court. Such motions need not be noticed on the	
23	motion calendar. Opposition, if any, to the granting of the motion shall be served and filed by the responding party not more than twenty-one	
24	(21),days after the date of service of the motion. A responding party who has no opposition to the granting of the motion shall serve and file a	
25	statement to that effect, specifically designating the motion in question. Failure of the responding party to file an opposition or to file a statement	
26	of no opposition may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion and may result in the imposition of sanctions. The	
27	moving party may, not more than seven (7) days after the opposition has been filed in CM/ECF, serve and file a reply to the opposition. All such	
28	motions will be deemed submitted when the time to reply has expired.	

Thus, Plaintiff need not, and indeed may not, file anything in response to Defendants' reply. Defendants' motion to dismiss, filed on August 18, 2015, is now deemed submitted and will be decided by the Court in due course. Accordingly, to the extent that Plaintiff was previously unaware of the above pleading practices, his motion for clarification, filed on January 22, 2016, is **GRANTED**. IT IS SO ORDERED. /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE Dated: **January 26, 2016**