 I I<			
2 3 4 5 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 Case No.: 1:13-cv-02029-AWI-SAB (PC) 12 Plaintiff, ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' REQUEST TO FILE SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING 13 v. ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' REQUEST TO FILE SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING 14 MARTIN BITER, et al., [ECF No. 139] 15 Defendants. [16			
2 3 4 5 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 Case No.: 1:13-cv-02029-AWI-SAB (PC) 12 Plaintiff, ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' REQUEST TO FILE SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING 13 v. ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' REQUEST TO FILE SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING 14 MARTIN BITER, et al., [ECF No. 139] 15 Defendants.	1		
3 4 4 5 5 6 7 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 Case No.: 1:13-cv-02029-AWI-SAB (PC) 10 1 11 JAMES W. MILLNER, Case No.: 1:13-cv-02029-AWI-SAB (PC) 12 Plaintiff, ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' REQUEST TO FILE SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING 13 v. TO FILE SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING 14 MARTIN BITER, et al., IECF No. 139] 15 Defendants. 16 1983. 17 Plaintiff James W. Millner is appearing prose in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 18 1983. 19 On April 28, 2016, the Court held an evidentiary hearing regarding Plaintiff's exhaustion of the administrative remedies. 11 On July 13, 2016, Defendants filed a request to file supplemental briefing on the recent 19 On July 13, 2016, Defendants filed a request to file supplemental briefing on the recent 20 Supreme Court decision in Ross v. Blake, 136 S.C. 1850 (June 6, 2016). Defendants submit that Ross 21 Miter Supplemental briefing on the recent 22 Supreme Court decision in Ross v. Blake, 136 S.C. 1850 (June 6, 2016). Defendants submit that Ross </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			
5			
6 7 7 Image: Construct of the substruct of the	4		
7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 Caste No.: 1:13-cv-02029-AWI-SAB (PC) 10 Case No.: 1:13-cv-02029-AWI-SAB (PC) 11 JAMES W. MILLNER, Case No.: 1:13-cv-02029-AWI-SAB (PC) 12 Plaintiff, Case No.: 1:13-cv-02029-AWI-SAB (PC) 13 V. 14 MARETIN BITER, et al., Colspan="2">ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' REQUEST OF FILE SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING 15 Defendants. 16 IECF No. 139] 17 Plaintiff James W. Millner is appearing prose in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. \$ 18 IPS3. 19 On April 28, 2016, the Court held an evidentiary hearing regarding Plaintiff's exhaustion of the administrative remedies. 21 On July 13, 2016, Defendants filed a request to file supplemental briefing on the recent 23 appears to provide controlling authority for the issues before the Court. 24 /// 25 /// 26 /// 27 /// 28 //// 29 //	5		
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 CASE OUT COURT 10 CASE NO.: 1:10-COURTSAB (PC) 11 JAMES W. MILLNER, Case No.: 1:13-cv-02029-AWI-SAB (PC) 12 Plaintiff, Case No.: 1:13-cv-02029-AWI-SAB (PC) 13 CASE No.: 1:13-cv-02029-AWI-SAB (PC) 14 JAMES W. MILLNER, Case No.: 1:13-cv-02029-AWI-SAB (PC) 15 Plaintiff, Case No.: 1:13-cv-02029-AWI-SAB (PC) 16 ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' REQUEST VO FILE SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING 16 Defendants. 17 Plaintiff James W. Millner is appearing prose in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 18 On April 28, 2016, the Court held an evid-entiary hearing regarding Plaintiff's exhaustion of the administrative remedies. 19 On July 13, 2016, Defendants filed a request to file supplemental briefing on the recent 12 appears to provide controlling authority for the issue before the Court. 13 ///////////////////////////////////	6		
9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 JAMES W. MILLNER, Case No.: 1:13-cv-02029-AWI-SAB (PC) 12 Plaintiff, ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' REQUEST 13 v. TO FILE SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING 14 MARTIN BITER, et al., [ECF No. 139] 15 Defendants. . 16 . . 17 Plaintiff James W. Millner is appearing provide in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 18 1983. 19 On April 28, 2016, the Court held an equest to file supplemental briefing on the recent 10 administrative remedies. 11 On July 13, 2016, Defendants filed a request to file supplemental briefing on the recent 12 Supreme Court decision in Ross v. Blake, 136 S.Ct. 1850 (June 6, 2016). Defendants submit that Ross 13 appears to provide controlling authority for the issue before the Court. 14 // 15 // 16 17 Martin Briefing on the recent 18 19 On July 13, 2016, Defendants filed a request to file supplemental briefing on the recent 10 <	7		
10 IAMES W. MILLNER, Case No.: 1:13-cv-02029-AWI-SAB (PC) 12 Plaintiff, ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' REQUEST 13 v. TO FILE SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING 14 MARTIN BITER, et al., IECF No. 139] 15 Defendants. IECF No. 139] 16 Image: State S	8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11 JAMES W. MILLNER, Case No.: 1:13-cv-02029-AWI-SAB (PC) 12 Plaintiff, ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' REQUEST TO FILE SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING 13 v. IO FILE SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING 14 MARTIN BITER, et al., [ECF No. 139] 15 Defendants.	9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12 Plaintiff, ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' REQUEST 13 v. TO FILE SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING 14 MARTIN BITER, et al., [ECF No. 139] 15 Defendants. . 16 . . 17 Plaintiff James W. Millner is appearing pro se in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 18 1983. 19 On April 28, 2016, the Court held an evidentiary hearing regarding Plaintiff's exhaustion of the 20 administrative remedies. 21 On July 13, 2016, Defendants filed a request to file supplemental briefing on the recent 22 Supreme Court decision in Ross v. Blake, 136 S.Ct. 1850 (June 6, 2016). Defendants submit that Ross 23 appears to provide controlling authority for the issues before the Court. 24 /// 25 /// 26 /// 27 /// 28 ///	10		
13 v. ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' REQUEST TO FILE SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING 14 MARTIN BITER, et al., [ECF No. 139] 15 Defendants.] 16	11	JAMES W. MILLNER,) Case No.: 1:13-cv-02029-AWI-SAB (PC)
MARTIN BITER, et al., [ECF No. 139] Defendants.	12	Plaintiff,) ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' REOUEST
 Defendants. Plaintiff James W. Millner is appearing pro se in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On April 28, 2016, the Court held an evidentiary hearing regarding Plaintiff's exhaustion of the administrative remedies. On July 13, 2016, Defendants filed a request to file supplemental briefing on the recent Supreme Court decision in <u>Ross v. Blake</u>, 136 S.Ct. 1850 (June 6, 2016). Defendants submit that Ross appears to provide controlling authority for the issues before the Court. /// // /// /// // <l< td=""><td>13</td><td>v.</td><td>) TO FILE SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING</td></l<>	13	v.) TO FILE SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING
 16 Plaintiff James W. Millner is appearing pro se in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. 1983. 199 On April 28, 2016, the Court held an evidentiary hearing regarding Plaintiff's exhaustion of the administrative remedies. 21 On July 13, 2016, Defendants filed a request to file supplemental briefing on the recent 22 Supreme Court decision in <u>Ross v. Blake</u>, 136 S.Ct. 1850 (June 6, 2016). Defendants submit that Ross appears to provide controlling authority for the issues before the Court. 24 /// 25 /// 27 /// 28 /// 	14	MARTIN BITER, et al.,) [ECF No. 139]
 Plaintiff James W. Millner is appearing pro se in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On April 28, 2016, the Court held an evidentiary hearing regarding Plaintiff's exhaustion of the administrative remedies. On July 13, 2016, Defendants filed a request to file supplemental briefing on the recent Supreme Court decision in <u>Ross v. Blake</u>, 136 S.Ct. 1850 (June 6, 2016). Defendants submit that Ross appears to provide controlling authority for the issues before the Court. /// /// /// /// /// /// /// /// 	15	Defendants.))
 1983. I983. On April 28, 2016, the Court held an evidentiary hearing regarding Plaintiff's exhaustion of the administrative remedies. On July 13, 2016, Defendants filed a request to file supplemental briefing on the recent Supreme Court decision in <u>Ross v. Blake</u>, 136 S.Ct. 1850 (June 6, 2016). Defendants submit that Ross appears to provide controlling authority for the issues before the Court. /// /// /// // 	16		_)
 19 On April 28, 2016, the Court held an evidentiary hearing regarding Plaintiff's exhaustion of the administrative remedies. 21 On July 13, 2016, Defendants filed a request to file supplemental briefing on the recent 22 Supreme Court decision in <u>Ross v. Blake</u>, 136 S.Ct. 1850 (June 6, 2016). Defendants submit that Ross 23 appears to provide controlling authority for the issues before the Court. 24 /// 25 /// 27 /// 28 /// 			
 administrative remedies. On July 13, 2016, Defendants filed a request to file supplemental briefing on the recent Supreme Court decision in <u>Ross v. Blake</u>, 136 S.Ct. 1850 (June 6, 2016). Defendants submit that Ross appears to provide controlling authority for the issues before the Court. /// // //<!--</td--><td></td><td colspan="2"></td>			
21On July 13, 2016, Defendants filed a request to file supplemental briefing on the recent22Supreme Court decision in Ross v. Blake, 136 S.Ct. 1850 (June 6, 2016). Defendants submit that Ross23appears to provide controlling authority for the issues before the Court.24///25///26///27///28///			
 Supreme Court decision in <u>Ross v. Blake</u>, 136 S.Ct. 1850 (June 6, 2016). Defendants submit that Ross appears to provide controlling authority for the issues before the Court. /// <			
 appears to provide controlling authority for the issues before the Court. /// 			
24 /// 25 /// 26 /// 27 /// 28 ///			
25 /// 26 /// 27 /// 28 ///			e issues before the Court.
26 /// 27 /// 28 ///			
27 /// 28 ///			
28 ///			
			1

1	Good cause having been presented to the Court, it is HEREBY ORDERED that within	
2	fourteen (14) days from the date of service of this order, Defendants may file supplemental briefing in	
3	support of Plaintiff's failure to exhaust the administrative remedies. Within twenty-one (21) days	
4	from the date Defendants file their supplemental brief, Plaintiff may file a response.	
5		
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
7	Dated: July 15, 2016	
8	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25 26		
26		
27 28		
28		
	2	