1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 WILLIAM THOMAS COATS, Case No. 1:13-cv-02032-AWI-BAM (PC) 12 ORDER TO FILE OPPOSITION OR Plaintiff. STATEMENT OF NON-OPPOSITION TO 13 DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY v. **JUDGMENT** 14 MUHAMMAD CHAUDHRI, et al. (ECF No. 31) 15 Defendants. THIRTY (30) DAY DEADLINE 16 17 William Thomas Coats ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This action currently proceeds on 18 19 Plaintiff's complaint filed on December 12, 2013 against Defendants Chaudhri and John Doe 20 medical staff for deliberate indifference to serious medical needs. (ECF No. 10.) 21 On November 10, 2016, Defendant Chaudhri filed a motion for summary judgment. (ECF 22 No. 31.) Plaintiff was provided with notice of the requirements for opposing that motion. Woods 23 v. Carey, 684 F.3d 934 (9th Cir.2012); Rand v. Rowland, 154 F.3d 952, 957 (9th Cir.1988); 24 Klingele v. Eikenberry, 849 F.2d 409, 411–12 (9th Cir.1988). (ECF No. 31-6 at p. 1.) Plaintiff's opposition was due within twenty-one (21) days of service of Defendant Chaudhri's motion. 25 26 More than thirty (30) days have passed since the motion for summary judgment was filed, but 27 Plaintiff has not filed any opposition or statement of non-opposition to the motion, nor has 28 Plaintiff made any other communications with the Court.

1	Under Local Rule 230(l), the Court HEREBY ORDERS Plaintiff to file an opposition or
2	statement of non-opposition to Defendant Chaudhri's motion for summary judgment within
3	thirty (30) days of service of this order. Plaintiff is warned that the failure to comply with this
4	order may result in dismissal of this action, with prejudice, for failure to prosecute and
5	failure to obey a court order.
6	
7	IT IS SO ORDERED.
8	Dated: December 12, 2016 /s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe
9	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	