1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 COSME PRESAS, Case No. 1:13-cv-02038-LJO-SKO (PC) 11 Plaintiff, ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR LAW LIBRARY ACCESS 12 v. (Doc. 23) 13 KERN MEDICAL CENTER, et al., 14 Defendants. 15 Plaintiff Cosme Presas, a prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, filed this civil 16 rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 on December 13, 2013. On December 22, 2014, 17 Plaintiff filed a motion seeking an order granting him law library access.¹ 18 The Court lacks jurisdiction to issue an order requiring Kern County jail personnel to allow 19 Plaintiff law library access. See 18 U.S.C. § 3626(a)(1)(A); Summers v. Earth Island Institute, 20 555 U.S. 488, 493, 129 S.Ct. 1142, 1149 (2009); Lujan v. Defenders of Wildlife, 504 U.S. 555, 21 559-61, 112 S.Ct. 2130 (1992); Mayfield v. United States, 599 F.3d 964, 969 (9th Cir. 2010). In 22 addition, while prisoners have a constitutional right of access to the courts, Lewis v. Casey, 518 23 U.S. 343, 346, 116 S.Ct. 2174 (1996); Silva v. Di Vittorio, 658 F.3d 1090, 1101 (9th Cir. 2011); 24 Phillips v. Hust, 588 F.3d 652, 655 (9th Cir. 2009), the pendency of an action does not entitle 25 Plaintiff to any particular form of access, Lewis, 518 U.S. at 351. Rather, how jail staff elects to 26 accommodate inmates' overarching constitutional right of access to the court rests in the first 27

28

¹ At this stage in the proceedings, Plaintiff's amended complaint is pending statutory screening. 28 U.S.C. §1915A.

instance with staff. <i>Lewis</i> , 518 U.S. at 351; <i>Silva</i> , 658 F.3d at 1101-02; Phillips, 588 F.3d at 655-
57.
Accordingly, the Court lacks the jurisdiction to issue the order sought by Plaintiff and his
motion is HEREBY ORDERED DENIED.
IT IS SO ORDERED.
Dated: January 6, 2015 /s/ Sheila K. Oberto UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE