

William L. Schmidt, SBN. 206870
WILLIAM L. SCHMIDT, ATTORNEY AT LAW, P.C.
377 W. Fallbrook Ave., Suite 205
Fresno, CA 93711
Telephone: 559.261.2222
Facsimile: 559.436.8163
Email: legal.schmidt@gmail.com

Attorney for Plaintiffs THE ESTATE OF
STEPHEN E. CRAWLEY, NORMA
CRAWLEY, and JOHNNY CRAWLEY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

THE ESTATE OF STEPHEN E. CRAWLEY,
NORMA CRAWLEY, individually and as
personal representative of ESTATE OF
STEPHEN E. CRAWLEY, JOHNNY
CRAWLEY, individually and as personal
representative of ESTATE OF STEPHEN E.
CRAWLEY

Plaintiffs,

v.

KINGS COUNTY; KINGS COUNTY
SHERIFFS DEPARTMENT; DAVE
ROBINSON, individually, and in his capacity
as Kings County Sheriff; SHAWN McRAE,
individually, and in his capacity as sergeant for
Kings County Sheriff; MARIUS
BARSTECEANU, individually, and in his
capacity as senior deputy for Kings County
Sheriff; and DOES 1-50, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:13-cv-02042 LJO SAB

**STIPULATION FOR PROTECTIVE
ORDER AND ORDER THEREON**

STIPULATION AND PROTECTIVE ORDER¹

1. PURPOSES AND LIMITATIONS

Disclosure and discovery activity in this action are likely to involve production of
confidential, proprietary, or private information for which special protection from public disclosure
and from use for any purpose other than prosecuting this litigation may be warranted. Accordingly,

¹ This document is based on the model protective order for standard litigation promulgated by the Northern District of California. It has been modified only to omit or replace references to that district and its local rules.

1 Plaintiffs and the City of Lemoore hereby stipulate to and petition the court to enter the following
2 Stipulated Protective Order. The Plaintiffs and the City of Lemoore acknowledge that this Order
3 does not confer blanket protections on all disclosures or responses to discovery and that the
4 protection it affords from public disclosure and use extends only to the limited information or items
5 that are entitled to confidential treatment under the applicable legal principles. Plaintiffs and the
6 City of Lemoore further acknowledge, as set forth in Section 12.3, below, that this Stipulated
7 Protective Order does not entitle them to file confidential information under seal. Local Rule 141
8 sets forth the procedures that must be followed and the standards that will be applied when a party
9 seeks permission from the court to file material under seal.

10 2. DEFINITIONS

11 2.1 Challenging Party: a Party or Non-Party that challenges the designation of
12 information or items under this Order.

13 2.2 “CONFIDENTIAL” Information or Items: information (regardless of how it is
14 generated, stored or maintained) or tangible things that qualify for protection under Federal Rule of
15 Civil Procedure 26(c).

16 2.3 Counsel (without qualifier): Outside Counsel of Record and House Counsel (as well
17 as their support staff).

18 2.4 Designating Party: a Party or Non-Party that designates information or items that it
19 produces in disclosures or in responses to discovery as:

20 **CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER**

21 **Estate of Stephen E. Crawley, et al. v. County of Kings, et al.**

22 2.5 Disclosure or Discovery Material: all items or information, regardless of the medium
23 or manner in which it is generated, stored, or maintained (including, among other things, testimony,
24 transcripts, and tangible things), that are produced or generated in disclosures or responses to
25 discovery in this matter.

26 2.6 Expert: a person with specialized knowledge or experience in a matter pertinent to
27 the litigation who has been retained by a Party or its counsel to serve as an expert witness or as a
28 consultant in this action.

1 2.7 House Counsel: attorneys who are employees of a party to this action. House
2 Counsel does not include Outside Counsel of Record or any other outside counsel.

3 2.8 Non-Party: any natural person, partnership, corporation, association, or other legal
4 entity not named as a Party to this action.

5 2.9 Outside Counsel of Record: attorneys who are not employees of a party to this action
6 but are retained to represent or advise a party to this action and have appeared in this action on
7 behalf of that party or are affiliated with a law firm which has appeared on behalf of that party.

8 2.10 Party: any party to this action, including all of its officers, directors, employees,
9 consultants, retained experts, and Outside Counsel of Record (and their support staffs).

10 2.11 Producing Party: a Party or Non-Party that produces Disclosure or Discovery
11 Material in this action.

12 2.12 Professional Vendors: persons or entities that provide litigation support services
13 (e.g., photocopying, videotaping, translating, preparing exhibits or demonstrations, and organizing,
14 storing, or retrieving data in any form or medium) and their employees and subcontractors.

15 2.13 Protected Material: any Disclosure or Discovery Material that is designated as:

16 **CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER**

17 **Estate of Stephen E. Crawley, et al. v. County of Kings, et al.**

18 2.14 Receiving Party: a Party that receives Disclosure or Discovery Material from a
19 Producing Party.

20 3. SCOPE

21 The protections conferred by this Stipulation and Order cover not only Protected Material (as
22 defined above), but also (1) any information copied or extracted from Protected Material; (2) all
23 copies, excerpts, summaries, or compilations of Protected Material; and (3) any testimony,
24 conversations, or presentations by Plaintiffs and the City of Lemoore or their Counsel that might
25 reveal Protected Material. However, the protections conferred by this Stipulation and Order do not
26 cover the following information: (a) any information that is in the public domain at the time of
27 disclosure to a Receiving Party or becomes part of the public domain after its disclosure to a
28 Receiving Party as a result of publication not involving a violation of this Order, including becoming

part of the public record through trial or otherwise; and (b) any information known to the Receiving Party prior to the disclosure or obtained by the Receiving Party after the disclosure from a source who obtained the information lawfully and under no obligation of confidentiality to the Designating Party. Any use of Protected Material at trial shall be governed by a separate agreement or order.

4. DURATION

Even after final disposition of this litigation, the confidentiality obligations imposed by this Order shall remain in effect until a Designating Party agrees otherwise in writing or a court order otherwise directs. Final disposition shall be deemed to be the later of (1) dismissal of all claims and defenses in this action, with or without prejudice; and (2) final judgment herein after the completion and exhaustion of all appeals, rehearings, remands, trials, or reviews of this action, including the time limits for filing any motions or applications for extension of time pursuant to applicable law.

5. DESIGNATING PROTECTED MATERIAL

5.1 Exercise of Restraint and Care in Designating Material for Protection. Each Party or Non-Party that designates information or items for protection under this Order must take care to limit any such designation to specific material that qualifies under the appropriate standards. The Designating Party must designate for protection only those parts of material, documents, items, or oral or written communications that qualify – so that other portions of the material, documents, items, or communications for which protection is not warranted are not swept unjustifiably within the ambit of this Order.

Mass, indiscriminate, or routinized designations are prohibited. Designations that are shown to be clearly unjustified or that have been made for an improper purpose (e.g., to unnecessarily encumber or retard the case development process or to impose unnecessary expenses and burdens on other parties) expose the Designating Party to sanctions.

If it comes to a Designating Party's attention that information or items that it designated for protection do not qualify for protection, that Designating Party must promptly notify all other Parties that it is withdrawing the mistaken designation.

5.2 Manner and Timing of Designations. Except as otherwise provided in this Order (see, e.g., second paragraph of section 5.2(a) below), or as otherwise stipulated or ordered,

1 Disclosure or Discovery Material that qualifies for protection under this Order must be clearly so
2 designated before the material is disclosed or produced.

3 Designation in conformity with this Order requires:

4 (a) for information in documentary form (e.g., paper or electronic documents, but
5 excluding transcripts of depositions or other pretrial or trial proceedings), that the Producing Party
6 affix the legend “**CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER /**
7 **Estate of Stephen E. Crawley, et al. v. County of Kings, et al.**” to each page that contains
8 protected material. If only a portion or portions of the material on a page qualifies for protection, the
9 Producing Party also must clearly identify the protected portion(s) (e.g., by making appropriate
10 markings in the margins).

11 A Party or Non-Party that makes original documents or materials available for inspection
12 need not designate them for protection until after the inspecting Party has indicated which material it
13 would like copied and produced. During the inspection and before the designation, all of the
14 material made available for inspection shall be deemed “**CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL**
15 **SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER / Estate of Stephen E. Crawley, et al. v. County of**
16 **Kings, et al.**” After the inspecting Party has identified the documents it wants copied and produced,
17 the Producing Party must determine which documents, or portions thereof, qualify for protection
18 under this Order. Then, before producing the specified documents, the Producing Party must affix
19 the “**CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER / Estate of**
20 **Stephen E. Crawley, et al. v. County of Kings, et al.**” legend to each page that contains Protected
21 Material. If only a portion or portions of the material on a page qualifies for protection, the
22 Producing Party also must clearly identify the protected portion(s) (e.g., by making appropriate
23 markings in the margins).

24 (b) for testimony given in deposition or in other pretrial or trial proceedings, that the
25 Designating Party identify on the record, before the close of the deposition, hearing, or other
26 proceeding, all protected testimony.

27 (c) for information produced in some form other than documentary and for any other
28 tangible items, that the Producing Party affix in a prominent place on the exterior of the container or

containers in which the information or item is stored the legend “**CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL
SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER / Estate of Stephen E. Crawley, et al. v. County of
Kings, et al.**.” If only a portion or portions of the information or item warrant protection, the
Producing Party, to the extent practicable, shall identify the protected portion(s).

5.3 Inadvertent Failures to Designate. If timely corrected, an inadvertent failure to
designate qualified information or items does not, standing alone, waive the Designating Party’s
right to secure protection under this Order for such material. Upon timely correction of a
designation, the Receiving Party must make reasonable efforts to assure that the material is treated in
accordance with the provisions of this Order.

6. CHALLENGING CONFIDENTIALITY DESIGNATIONS

6.1 Timing of Challenges. Any Party or Non-Party may challenge a designation of
confidentiality at any time. Unless a prompt challenge to a Designating Party’s confidentiality
designation is necessary to avoid foreseeable, substantial unfairness, unnecessary economic burdens,
or a significant disruption or delay of the litigation, a Party does not waive its right to challenge a
confidentiality designation by electing not to mount a challenge promptly after the original
designation is disclosed.

6.2 Meet and Confer. The Challenging Party shall initiate the dispute resolution process
by providing written notice of each designation it is challenging and describing the basis for each
challenge. To avoid ambiguity as to whether a challenge has been made, the written notice must
recite that the challenge to confidentiality is being made in accordance with this specific paragraph
of the Protective Order. The Plaintiffs and the City of Lemoore shall attempt to resolve each
challenge in good faith and must begin the process by conferring directly (in voice to voice dialogue;
other forms of communication are not sufficient) within 14 days of the date of service of notice. In
conferring, the Challenging Party must explain the basis for its belief that the confidentiality
designation was not proper and must give the Designating Party an opportunity to review the
designated material, to reconsider the circumstances, and, if no change in designation is offered, to
explain the basis for the chosen designation. A Challenging Party may proceed to the next stage of
the challenge process only if it has engaged in this meet and confer process first or establishes that

1 the Designating Party is unwilling to participate in the meet and confer process in a timely manner.

2 6.3 Judicial Intervention. If the Plaintiffs and the City of Lemoore cannot resolve a
3 challenge without court intervention, the Designating Party shall file and serve a motion to retain
4 confidentiality within 21 days of the initial notice of challenge or within 14 days of the parties
5 agreeing that the meet and confer process will not resolve their dispute, whichever is earlier. Each
6 such motion must be accompanied by a competent declaration affirming that the movant has
7 complied with the meet and confer requirements imposed in the preceding paragraph. Failure by the
8 Designating Party to make such a motion including the required declaration within 21 days (or 14
9 days, if applicable) shall automatically waive the confidentiality designation for each challenged
10 designation. In addition, the Challenging Party may file a motion challenging a confidentiality
11 designation at any time if there is good cause for doing so, including a challenge to the designation
12 of a deposition transcript or any portions thereof. Any motion brought pursuant to this provision
13 must be accompanied by a competent declaration affirming that the movant has complied with the
14 meet and confer requirements imposed by the preceding paragraph.

15 The burden of persuasion in any such challenge proceeding shall be on the Designating
16 Party. Frivolous challenges, and those made for an improper purpose (e.g., to harass or impose
17 unnecessary expenses and burdens on other parties) may expose the Challenging Party to sanctions.
18 Unless the Designating Party has waived the confidentiality designation by failing to file a motion to
19 retain confidentiality as described above, all parties shall continue to afford the material in question
20 the level of protection to which it is entitled under the Producing Party's designation until the court
21 rules on the challenge.

22 7. ACCESS TO AND USE OF PROTECTED MATERIAL

23 7.1 Basic Principles. A Receiving Party may use Protected Material that is disclosed or
24 produced by another Party or by a Non-Party in connection with this case only for prosecuting,
25 defending, or attempting to settle this litigation. Such Protected Material may be disclosed only to
26 the categories of persons and under the conditions described in this Order. When the litigation has
27 been terminated, a Receiving Party must comply with the provisions of section 13 below (FINAL
28 DISPOSITION).

Protected Material must be stored and maintained by a Receiving Party at a location and in a secure manner that ensures that access is limited to the persons authorized under this Order.

7.2 Disclosure of “CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER / Estate of Stephen E. Crawley, et al. v. County of Kings, et al.” Information or Items.

Unless otherwise ordered by the court or permitted in writing by the Designating Party, a Receiving Party may disclose any information or item designated “CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER / Estate of Stephen E. Crawley, et al. v. County of Kings, et al.” only to:

(a) the Receiving Party’s Outside Counsel of Record in this action, as well as employees of said Outside Counsel of Record to whom it is reasonably necessary to disclose the information for this litigation and who have signed the “Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be Bound” that is attached hereto as Exhibit A;

(b) the officers, directors, and employees (including House Counsel) of the Receiving Party to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for this litigation and who have signed the “Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be Bound” (Exhibit A);

(c) Experts (as defined in this Order) of the Receiving Party to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for this litigation and who have signed the “Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be Bound” (Exhibit A);

(d) the court and its personnel;

(e) court reporters and their staff, professional jury or trial consultants, mock jurors, and Professional Vendors to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for this litigation and who have signed the “Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be Bound” (Exhibit A);

(f) during their depositions, witnesses in the action to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary and who have signed the “Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be Bound” (Exhibit A), unless otherwise agreed by the Designating Party or ordered by the court. Pages of transcribed deposition testimony or exhibits to depositions that reveal Protected Material must be separately bound by the court reporter and may not be disclosed to anyone except as permitted under this Stipulated Protective Order.

(g) the author or recipient of a document containing the information or a custodian or other person who otherwise possessed or knew the information.

8. PROTECTED MATERIAL SUBPOENAED OR ORDERED PRODUCED IN OTHER LITIGATION

If either Plaintiffs or the City of Lemoore is served with a subpoena or a court order issued in other litigation that compels disclosure of any information or items designated in this action as “**CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER / Estate of Stephen E. Crawley, et al. v. County of Kings, et al.**,” that Party must:

(a) promptly notify in writing the Designating Party. Such notification shall include a copy of the subpoena or court order;

(b) promptly notify in writing the party who caused the subpoena or order to issue in the other litigation that some or all of the material covered by the subpoena or order is subject to this Protective Order. Such notification shall include a copy of this Stipulated Protective Order; and

(c) cooperate with respect to all reasonable procedures sought to be pursued by the Designating Party whose Protected Material may be affected.

If the Designating Party timely seeks a protective order, the Party served with the subpoena or court order shall not produce any information designated in this action as “**CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER / Estate of Stephen E. Crawley, et al. v. County of Kings, et al.**” before a determination by the court from which the subpoena or order issued, unless the Party has obtained the Designating Party’s permission. The Designating Party shall bear the burden and expense of seeking protection in that court of its confidential material – and nothing in these provisions should be construed as authorizing or encouraging a Receiving Party in this action to disobey a lawful directive from another court.

9. A NON-PARTY’S PROTECTED MATERIAL SOUGHT TO BE PRODUCED IN THIS LITIGATION

(a) The terms of this Order are applicable to information produced by a Non-Party in this action and designated as “**CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER / Estate of Stephen E. Crawley, et al. v. County of Kings, et al.**” Such information

1 produced by Non-Parties in connection with this litigation is protected by the remedies and relief
2 provided by this Order. Nothing in these provisions should be construed as prohibiting a Non-Party
3 from seeking additional protections.

4 (b) In the event that a Party is required, by a valid discovery request, to produce a Non-
5 Party's confidential information in its possession, and the Party is subject to an agreement with the
6 Non-Party not to produce the Non-Party's confidential information, then the Party shall:

7 (1) promptly notify in writing the Requesting Party and the Non-Party that some or
8 all of the information requested is subject to a confidentiality agreement with a Non-Party;

9 (2) promptly provide the Non-Party with a copy of the Stipulated Protective Order in
10 this litigation, the relevant discovery request(s), and a reasonably specific description of the
11 information requested; and

12 (3) make the information requested available for inspection by the Non-Party.

13 (c) If the Non-Party fails to object or seek a protective order from this court within 14
14 days of receiving the notice and accompanying information, the Receiving Party may produce the
15 Non-Party's confidential information responsive to the discovery request. If the Non-Party timely
16 seeks a protective order, the Receiving Party shall not produce any information in its possession or
17 control that is subject to the confidentiality agreement with the Non-Party before a determination by
18 the court. Absent a court order to the contrary, the Non-Party shall bear the burden and expense of
19 seeking protection in this court of its Protected Material.

20 10. UNAUTHORIZED DISCLOSURE OF PROTECTED MATERIAL

21 If a Receiving Party learns that, by inadvertence or otherwise, it has disclosed Protected
22 Material to any person or in any circumstance not authorized under this Stipulated Protective Order,
23 the Receiving Party must immediately (a) notify in writing the Designating Party of the unauthorized
24 disclosures, (b) use its best efforts to retrieve all unauthorized copies of the Protected Material, (c)
25 inform the person or persons to whom unauthorized disclosures were made of all the terms of this
26 Order, and (d) request such person or persons to execute the "Acknowledgment and Agreement to
27 Be Bound" that is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
28

11. INADVERTENT PRODUCTION OF PRIVILEGED OR OTHERWISE PROTECTED MATERIAL

When a Producing Party gives notice to Receiving Parties that certain inadvertently produced material is subject to a claim of privilege or other protection, the obligations of the Receiving Parties are those set forth in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(b)(5)(B). This provision is not intended to modify whatever procedure may be established in an e-discovery order that provides for production without prior privilege review. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 502(d) and (e), insofar as the parties reach an agreement on the effect of disclosure of a communication or information covered by the attorney-client privilege or work product protection, the parties may incorporate their agreement in the stipulated protective order submitted to the court.

12. MISCELLANEOUS

12.1 Right to Further Relief. Nothing in this Order abridges the right of any person to seek its modification by the court in the future.

12.2 Right to Assert Other Objections. By stipulating to the entry of this Protective Order no Party waives any right it otherwise would have to object to disclosing or producing any information or item on any ground not addressed in this Stipulated Protective Order. Similarly, no Party waives any right to object on any ground to use in evidence of any of the material covered by this Protective Order.

12.3 Filing Protected Material. Without written permission from the Designating Party or a court order secured after appropriate notice to all interested persons, a Party may not file in the public record in this action any Protected Material. A Party that seeks to file under seal any Protected Material must comply with Local Rule 141. Protected Material may only be filed under seal pursuant to a court order authorizing the sealing of the specific Protected Material at issue. A sealing order will issue only upon a request establishing that the Protected Material at issue is privileged, protectable as a trade secret, or otherwise entitled to protection under the law. If a Receiving Party's request to file Protected Material under seal is denied by the court, then the Receiving Party may file the information in the public record unless otherwise instructed by the court.

1 13. FINAL DISPOSITION

2 Within 60 days after the final disposition of this action, as defined in paragraph 4, each
3 Receiving Party must return all Protected Material to the Producing Party or destroy such material.
4 As used in this subdivision, “all Protected Material” includes all copies, abstracts, compilations,
5 summaries, and any other format reproducing or capturing any of the Protected Material. Whether
6 the Protected Material is returned or destroyed, the Receiving Party must submit a written
7 certification to the Producing Party (and, if not the same person or entity, to the Designating Party)
8 by the 60 day deadline that (1) identifies (by category, where appropriate) all the Protected Material
9 that was returned or destroyed and (2) affirms that the Receiving Party has not retained any copies,
10 abstracts, compilations, summaries or any other format reproducing or capturing any of the Protected
11 Material. Notwithstanding this provision, Counsel are entitled to retain an archival copy of all
12 pleadings, motion papers, trial, deposition, and hearing transcripts, legal memoranda,
13 correspondence, deposition and trial exhibits, expert reports, attorney work product, and consultant
14 and expert work product, even if such materials contain Protected Material. Any such archival

15 //

16 //

17 //

18 //

19 //

20 //

21 //

22 //

23 //

24 //

25 //

26 //

27 //

28 //

1 copies that contain or constitute Protected Material remain subject to this Protective Order as set
2 forth in Section 4 (DURATION).

3 IT IS SO STIPULATED, THROUGH COUNSEL OF RECORD.

4

5

WILLIAM L. SCHMIDT, ATTORNEY AT LAW, P.C.

6

7

DATED: 05/18/15 /s/ William L. Schmidt
William L. Schmidt
Attorneys for Plaintiff

8

9

10

LOZANO SMITH

11

12

DATED: 05/14/15 /s/ Roy C. Santos
Janell Van Bindsbergen
Attorneys for The City of Lemoore

13

14

15

16

IT IS SO ORDERED.

17

Dated: May 26, 2015

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28


UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE