1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6 7			
7 8			
0 9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	MARK S. SOKOLSKY,	Case No. 1:13-cv-02044 LJO DLB PC	
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER REGARDING PLAINTIFF'S	
13	V.	MOTION TO EXPEDITE PROCEEDINGS	
14	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,	[ECF No. 10]	
15	Defendants.		
16			
17	Plaintiff Mark S. Sokolsky is a civil detainee proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in		
18	this civil rights action. Plaintiff filed his initial complaint on December 16, 2013. On September		
19	11, 2014, Plaintiff filed a First Amended Complaint.		
20	On November 10, 2014, Plaintiff filed the instant motion to expedite proceedings in this		
21	action. As Plaintiff correctly states, the instant action is pending before this Court. However, the		
22	Court does not have an expedited calendar. Plaintiff is advised that the Court acts to resolve all		
23	pending cases in the most efficient manner possible. The Court is aware of the pending First		
24	Amended Complaint. Nevertheless, the Court's docket of pending cases is substantial, and the		
25	Court must act first on those matters that have been pending the longest.		
26	///		
27	///		
28	///		
	4		

1

1	Accordingly, Plaintiff's motion to expedite proceedings is DENIED.		
2	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
3			
4	Dated:	November 13, 2014	/s/ Dennis L. Beck UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16 17			
17			
18 19			
20			
20 21			
21			
22			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
			2